## Evaluation of plant extracts for the management of citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae)

Muhammad Arshad<sup>1</sup>, Muhammad I. Ullah<sup>1,\*</sup>, Muhammad Afzal<sup>1</sup>, Samina Khalid<sup>2</sup>, Abu Bakar M. Raza<sup>1</sup>, Yasir

Iftikhar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Entomology, University of Sargodha, 40100, Sargodha, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Environmental Sciences, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Vehari <sup>3</sup>Dept. of Plant Pathology, University of Sargodha, 40100, Sargodha, Pakistan <sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: mirfanullah@uos.edu.pk

#### Abstract

Citrus leafminer (CLM), Phyllocnistis citrella Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae), is a major pest of citrus, which feeds on almost all citrus cultivars, and some related Rutaceae spp. We evaluated the efficacy of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of selected plant species (neem Azadirachta indica A. Juss, banana Musa acuminate Colla, eucalyptus Eucalyptus camaldulensis (Dehn.), mint Mentha arvensis L., datura Datura stramonium L., tumma Citrullus colocynthis (L.) and lemon Citrus limon (L.) against P. citrella larvae by two different application methods: leaf dip and topical applications. The highest P. citrella mortality was observed in the aqueous (61.17%) and alcoholic (58.3%) extracts of A. indica compared to rest of the plant extracts after 24 hours of exposure. Among two treatment application methods, higher P. citrella mortality was obtained in the topical application of A. indica extract than leaf dip application. Furthermore, the LC<sub>50</sub> value of A. indica aqueous extracts was 6.8% in leaf dip bioassay and 4.55% in topical application which was lower compared to all other extracts. Musa acuminate and C. limon aqueous and alcoholic extracts were found least effective against P. citrella larvae. When the combined efficacy of plant extracts with abamectin was evaluated, the aqueous and alcoholic extract of A. indica combination treatments provided the highest mortality (62.25% and 66.25% respectively) than the rest of the treatments. Our findings indicate that A. indica extract has the potential to be tested as a botanical insecticide for the management of *P. citrella* larvae as a stand-alone (for organic growers) or in an integrated approach with abamectin. It would reduce the input cost of the growers and also help reduce the negative impacts of synthetic chemical insecticides.

Keywords: Citrus mandarins; leaf dip bioassay; mortality percentage; plant extracts; topical application.

#### 1. Introduction

Endemic to Asia, citrus leafminer (CLM), *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae), is a major insect pest of citrus in almost all the citrusproducing countries including India, Pakistan, China, Phillipines, Sri Lanka and Bangladesh (CABI, 2017). During the early 1990's, it rapidly spread to the citrus growing areas of America and the Mediterranean basin (Beattie, 1993). Now it is considered one of the most destructive citrus pests worldwide, causing growers to have economic losses in both crop damage and pest management. In Pakistan, it is distributed in Punjab, Sindh and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa provinces. It is known for direct and indirect damage to citrus plants (Arshad *et al.*, 2018).

Repeated applications of insecticides are often required for effective control of *P. citrella* due to their high reproduction rate, multivoltine life history (Yumruktepe *et al.*, 1996), protection of larvae within the mines, evading topical sprays, and pupal protection by the rolled leaf margins (Beattie, 2004). Together all these factors may reduce suppression of the pest in the growing season and/or development of insecticide resistance in the target populations (Amiri-Besheli, 2008, 2009; Farooq & Freed, 2018). Considering the importance of alternative management practices, in the past decade, several researchers suggested the need of integrating biopesticides in the conventional pest control programs (Broderick *et al.*, 2000; Lacey *et al.*, 2001). Among different approaches, the utility of plant extracts as a broad-spectrum pesticide (insecticidal, antiviral, antifungal, antibacterial, anti-feedant, insect growth regulators) have been widely investigated and recommended for their use against multiple pest of economic importance (Belmain *et al.*, 2001; Carlini & Grossi-de-Sá, 2002; Grzywacz *et al.*, 2014; Manzoor *et al.*, 2016).

The multiple active ingredients in insecticidal plant extracts act synergistically and exhibit various modes of action that prevent resistance development in insect pests (Belmain et al., 2001). In addithese insecticides tion. natural are relatively harmless to non-target organisms (Isman, 2006).

Neem [*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss (family: Meliaceae)], a plant native to the Indian Subcontinent, is extensively grown in Pakistan and India for its medicinal and pesticidal properties. Neem products such as bark, seed, leaves and neem oil have been reported to suppress

## 59 Muhammad Arshad, Muhammad I. Ullah, Muhammad Afzal, Samina Khalid, Abu Bakar M. Raza, Yasir Iftikhar

over 200 species of insect pests, five nematodes, and three mite species, and they are also considered benign to non-target organisms (Raguraman & Singh, 1999; Ukeh *et al.*, 2007). It acts as a repellent and affects an insect's growth by inhibiting the release of prothoracic hormones (Isman, 2006; Khattak & Rashid, 2006). In addition, the plant extracts of a perennial herb, *Datura stramonium* L. have been documented as a repellent to many agricultural insect pests in Asia (Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Kumral *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, in agricultural pest management, natural insecticides are safer to use in organic food production in industrialized countries. They also play an important role in the production and post-harvest protection of food in developing countries (Isman, 2006).

Considering the threat that *P. citrella* poses to citrus production in Pakistan, there is a serious need to develop sustainable management system that involves the environment friendly approaches to control this pest. Furthermore, number of plants having insecticidal properties is available in nature, but a limited number of studies have dealt with the use of botanicals against P. citrella. Thus, in this study our objective was to extract and assess the comparative efficacy of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of multiple plants with known pesticidal properties; [leaves of A. indica, eucalyptus Eucalyptus camaldulensis (family: Myrtaceae), mint Mentha arvensis (family: Lamiaceae), tumma Citrullus colocynthis (family: Cucurbitaceae), lemon Citrus limon (family: Rutaceae), peel of banana Musa acuminate (family: Musaceae), and leaves and seed of datura Datura stramonium (family: Solanaceae)] when applied alone and in combination with abamectin (grower standard) against P. citrella larvae.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Plant extracts preparation

The experiment was conducted in the Entomology Laboratory of the University of Sargodha, Pakistan (32°08'01.5"N 72°41'11.4"E). Plant materials were collected in and around the vicinity of the University from the natural area and were thoroughly washed with tap water to remove dust and other unwanted materials from the environment which may have accumulated on them. The dust free materials were allowed to dry away from direct sunlight in the laboratory for 24h. This was followed by oven drying at 50°C for 2 days. Then the dried samples were turned into a powder by using an electric blender to further use for extraction. Twenty grams powder of each plant material were added into a 200-ml conical flask, and 100 ml of a solvent such as water and methanol were separately poured into different flasks. The conical flasks were covered with aluminum foil and kept in an electrical shaker for 24h for continuous agitation at 150 rev/min in the laboratory. After that, the sample was filtered by using a muslin cloth followed by Whatman no 1 filter paper. The

solvent was evaporated by using rotary vacuum evaporator (LABOROTA 4001, Heidolph, USA) with a water bath temperature of 50°C. Finally, the samples were transferred to glass vials and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C for further experimentation. Further dilution of each plant extract was carried out to prepare three concentrations, 2.5%, 5% and 7%.

This was accomplished by mixing water, and methanol individually to check the effect of each aqueous and alcoholic extract against *P. citrella* larvae.

#### 2.2. Bioassay

Two separate bioassay methods were used to evaluate toxicity of the different plant extracts against P. citrella larvae, and the experiment was repeated once under laboratory conditions. For bioassays, the leaves with actively feeding third instar P. citrella larvae were collected from C. mandarins field (32°07'53.0"N 72°41'02.5"E) in the research area of the University of Sargodha. One larva was ensured on each leaf. If others were found, they were removed using a soft hair-brush. Leaves in the plastic paper were placed in the ice box and immediately brought into the laboratory. After cleaning the leaves, the petiole of leaves was wrapped with cotton to keep the leaves turgescent. For leaf dip bioassay, leaves were separately dipped for 10 seconds in tested plant extracts 1) A. indica, 2) M. acuminate, 3) E. camaldulensis, 4) M. arvensis, 5) D. stramonium, 6) C. colocynthis and 7) C. limon. Next, leaves were air dried for 2h and placed in clean Petri plates (60mm x 15mm). Similarly, leaves with actively feeding third instar P. citrella larvae were also treated through the topical application method described by Shapiro *et al.* (1998). One drop (about  $4\mu l$ ) of each extract was applied on each P. citrella larval body using a sterilized micro-syringe (Hamilton Co., Bonaduz, Switzerland). Distilled water and methanol were used as a control treatment. Each treatment was replicated five times in both bioassays and five leaves were treated for each replicate. Leaves were examined under a stereomicroscope (MZ1280, Micros, Austria) to check for movement of P. citrella larvae. In addition, the mortality of larvae was considered when it displayed a lack of external or peristaltic movement when probed. The mortality data were recorded after 3, 6, 12 and 24h of treatment exposure.

2.3. Combination of plant extracts with abamectin

A preliminary experiment was conducted to check the efficacy of three synthetic insecticides (spinosad 45% SC, abamectin 1.8% EC, and thiamethoxam 25% WG) against *P. citrella* larvae. Among the tested chemicals, abamectin showed significantly higher mortality (63.5%) of *P. citrella* larvae, compared to spinosad (49.3%) and thiamethoxam (32%). Similarly, all the plant extracts at 7% concentration showed greater mortality of *P. citrella* as compared to the lower

concentration (2.5% and 5%) of each. Therefore, abamectin at field recommended dose (0.4 ml/l) were mixed with all plant extracts at higher concentration, to check the combinatorial effect of plant extracts with insecticide. Two ratios of each plant extracts with abamectin were prepared. For ratio 1 (1:2), one part of the insecticide was mixed with 2 parts of each plant extract. Similarly, for ratio 2 (2:1), two parts of insecticide were mixed with one part of extract.

#### 2.4. Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed by completely randomized design using factorial arrangements of treatments, time interval, type of bioassay and concentration for the percent mortality of *P. citrella* larvae. The variable measured per replicate of each treatment was larval mortality (number of dead larvae). Percent mortality data were transformed by arcsin transformation and subjected to ANOVA. The percentage mortality data was calculated and corrected by Abbott's (1925) formula. The lethal concentration LC<sub>50</sub>, of each treatment, was also calculated by probit analysis. Means separation were tested by a Tukey HSD pairwise comparison test and all the analyses were performed using Minitab 16.1 and SPSS 20.0 software.

#### 3. Results

Table 1 shows the efficacy of aqueous and alcoholic plant extracts against *P. citrella* larvae by means of percent mortality at the different time of exposure. The aqueous plant extracts showed significant ( $F_{7,232} = 4.13$ , P<0.001) variations in percent mortality of *P. citrella* larvae at a different time of exposure. The results revealed that aqueous extract of *A. indica* induced greater mortality of *P. citrella* larvae (61.1%) as followed by *D. stramonium* seed extract

(40.7%) after 24h of exposure. Similarly, the alcoholic plant extracts also showed the significant impact ( $F_{7,232} = 3.79$ , P<0.001) at different time intervals after treatment exposure. A higher *P. citrella* mortality was observed at 24h post exposure relative to 3h in all tested plant extracts. On the other hand, the least effective plant extracts were *M. acuminate* and *C. limon* (Table 1).

There was a significant variation in the percent mortality for P. citrella larvae after the application of aqueous plant extracts in the leaf dip ( $F_{7, 472}$  = 8.97, P<0.001) and topical bioassays ( $F_{7, 472} = 24.4$ , P<0.001). The aqueous extract of A. indica showed greater mortality of P. citrella in both application methods (35.0% and 52.6% respectively), whereas the aqueous extract of *M. acuminate* and *C. limon* showed the least mortality (16-19%) (Table 2). Similarly, in alcoholic extracts, both leaf dip bioassay ( $F_{7, 472} = 16.4$ , P<0.001) and topical bioassay ( $F_{7, 472} = 18.6$ , P<0.001) showed significant variations in percent mortality of P. citrella. The alcoholic extract of A. indica also showed greater mortality (46.8% and 37.8%) compared to other extracts through topical application and leaf dip bioassay respectively. The alcoholic extract of M. acuminate and C. limon showed least mortality of P. citrella (Table 2). The highest mortality was observed after topical application of A. indica in both aqueous and alcoholic nature (Table 2). As shown in Table 3, both aqueous ( $F_{7,312} = 27.2$ , P<0.001) and alcoholic extracts ( $F_{7,312} = 29.4$ , P<0.001) showed significant mortality of P. citrella larvae at different concentrations. Among the plant extracts, A. indica showed better performance at 7% concentration in both aqueous and alcoholic extracts. The least affected plant extracts were M. acuminate and C. limon.

As for the aqueous extracts, the results showed that *A. indica* extracts had higher toxicity against *P. citrella* with the lowest  $LC_{so}$  value (6.81%) in the leaf

on percent motions (mean-only) of 1 hydrodysta current and								
	3HAT	6HAT	12HAT	24HAT				
Aqueous extracts								
A. indica	23.33±2.97 <sup>a</sup>	$40.67 \pm 5.57^{a}$	50.0±6.84 <sup>a</sup>	61.17±6.35 <sup>a</sup>				
M. acuminate	6.00±2.44 <sup>c</sup>	13.3±2.78°	22.0±3.46°	25.8±4.43°				
E. camaldulensis	15.3±3.75 <sup>abc</sup>	23.3±4.41 <sup>bc</sup>	28.7±4.75 <sup>bc</sup>	32.0±5.12 <sup>bc</sup>				
M. arvensis	16.0±3.45 <sup>ab</sup>	19.3±3.18 <sup>bc</sup>	22.7±3.56°	25.3±4.48°				
D. stramonium leaf	16.7±3.06 <sup>ab</sup>	22.7±3.56 <sup>bc</sup>	31.3±4.47 <sup>bc</sup>	31.7±4.24 <sup>bc</sup>				
D. stramonium seed	22.7±3.41 <sup>a</sup>	28.0±3.98 <sup>b</sup>	36.7±3.39 <sup>ab</sup>	40.7±4.25 <sup>b</sup>				
C. colocynthis	16.7±3.36 <sup>ab</sup>	22.0±3.95 <sup>bc</sup>	28.0±4.66 <sup>bc</sup>	30.7±5.02 <sup>bc</sup>				
C. limon	10.0±2.94 <sup>bc</sup>	16.7±2.78 <sup>bc</sup>	19.3±3.09°	24.0±4.28°				
Alcoholic extracts								
A. indica	25.3±3.18 <sup>a</sup>	36.7±4.15 <sup>a</sup>	48.7±5.42 <sup>a</sup>	58.3±6.32ª				
M. acuminate	5.30±2.21 <sup>d</sup>	14.7±2.70°	$14.7 \pm 2.70^{d}$	18.8±3.77°				
E. camaldulensis	14.0±3.08 <sup>bc</sup>	20.0±3.97 <sup>bc</sup>	26.0±4.83 <sup>bcd</sup>	$30.0\pm 5.06^{bc}$				
M. arvensis	16.0±2.51 <sup>bc</sup>	18.7±2.71 <sup>bc</sup>	24.0±3.73 <sup>bcd</sup>	26.2±4.29 <sup>bc</sup>				
D. stramonium leaf	16.0±2.09 <sup>bc</sup>	22.7±3.12 <sup>bc</sup>	28.0±3.98bc	29.8±4.44 <sup>bc</sup>				
D. stramonium seed	20.7±2.87 <sup>ab</sup>	26.7±3.81 <sup>ab</sup>	34.0±2.79 <sup>b</sup>	38.3±5.18 <sup>b</sup>				
C. colocynthis	17.3±2.79 <sup>abc</sup>	20.0±3.12bc	27.3±4.90 <sup>bc</sup>	31.5±5.12 <sup>bc</sup>				
C. limon	11.3±2.84 <sup>cd</sup>	18.0±2.44 <sup>bc</sup>	20.7±3.18 <sup>cd</sup>	25.2±4.44 <sup>bc</sup>				

 

 Table 1. Effect of exposure time of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of different plants on percent mortality (mean±SE) of *Phyllocnistis citrella* larvae

Means sharing similar letters within columns are not significantly different from one another (P>0.05). The experiment was repeated once with similar results

HAT= Hours after treatment

61 Muhammad Arshad, Muhammad I. Ullah, Muhammad Afzal, Samina Khalid, Abu Bakar M. Raza, Yasir Iftikhar **Table 2.** Effect of application method of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of different plants on percent mortality (mean±SE) of *Phyllocnistis citrella* larvae

	Aqueous	extracts	Alcoholic extracts		
Treatments	Leaf dip	Topical	Leaf dip	Topical	
A. indica	35.0±4.99 <sup>a</sup>	52.6±5.86 <sup>a</sup>	$37.8 \pm 4.76^{a}$	46.8±5.28 <sup>a</sup>	
M. acuminate	17.1±3.65 <sup>b</sup>	16.5±2.91 <sup>d</sup>	11.8±2.55 <sup>d</sup>	14.9±3.14 <sup>d</sup>	
E. camaldulensis	21.8±4.70 <sup>b</sup>	27.8±4.31bc	18.4±4.04 <sup>cd</sup>	26.6±4.43 <sup>bc</sup>	
M. arvensis	21.8±3.70 <sup>b</sup>	19.8±3.63 <sup>cd</sup>	21.8±3.45 <sup>bc</sup>	20.7±3.17 <sup>cd</sup>	
D. stramonium leaf	26.3±3.75 <sup>ab</sup>	24.9±3.92 <sup>bcd</sup>	22.2±3.32 <sup>bc</sup>	26.1±3.49 <sup>bc</sup>	
D. stramonium seed	33.0±3.311 <sup>a</sup>	31.0±4.21 <sup>b</sup>	$28.6 \pm 2.98^{b}$	31.3±4.34 <sup>b</sup>	
C. colocynthis	23.2±3.91 <sup>b</sup>	25.5±4.58 <sup>bcd</sup>	18.8±3.76 <sup>cd</sup>	29.3±4.20 <sup>bc</sup>	
C. limon	18.5.0±3.22 <sup>b</sup>	16.5±3.33 <sup>d</sup>	16.2±2.98 <sup>cd</sup>	21.4±3.46 <sup>cd</sup>	

Means sharing similar letters within columns are not significantly different (P>0.05). LDB=leaf dip bioassay, TB=topical bioassay

**Table 3.** Influence of different concentration (using topical/leaf dip application method) of aqueous and alcoholic extracts of different plants on percent mortality (mean±SE) of *Phyllocnistis citrella* larvae

		Aqueous			Alcoholic	
Treatments	2.5%	5%	7%	2.5%	5%	7%
A. indica	24.6±3.53ª	42.0±4.93ª	64.8±6.07 <sup>a</sup>	22.7±3.01ª	42.9±4.39 <sup>a</sup>	61.1±5.46 <sup>a</sup>
M. acuminate	8.00±2.59°	18.6±3.20e	23.8±4.51e	2.50±1.63 <sup>d</sup>	$16.8 \pm 2.36^{d}$	20.9±2.95e
E. camaldulensis	5.50±1.90°	$30.8 \pm 3.48^{bc}$	38.3±3.53bc	4.00±1.84 <sup>cd</sup>	$26.4{\pm}3.04^{bc}$	$37.0 \pm 3.07^{bcd}$
M. arvensis	8.50±2.53°	21.8±2.71 <sup>cde</sup>	32.3±2.98 <sup>cde</sup>	$10.0 \pm 2.87^{bc}$	23.3±2.69 <sup>cd</sup>	30.4±2.52 <sup>cd</sup>
D. stramonium l	11.6±3.26 <sup>bc</sup>	27.8±2.75 <sup>b-e</sup>	37.4±3.24 <sup>bcd</sup>	$11.0\pm 2.82^{bc}$	$27.4 \pm 2.47^{bc}$	$34.0 \pm 3.03^{bcd}$
D. stramonium s	17.8±2.71 <sup>ab</sup>	$32.8 \pm 2.74^{b}$	45.5±3.24 <sup>b</sup>	16.6±3.03 <sup>ab</sup>	$31.4 \pm 2.74^{b}$	$41.8 \pm 3.58^{b}$
C. colocynthis	6.50±2.47°	$29.3{\pm}2.57^{bcd}$	37.3±3.49 <sup>bcd</sup>	7.10±1.71 <sup>cd</sup>	$27.4{\pm}2.45^{bc}$	37.6±3.14 <sup>bc</sup>
C. limon	5.00±2.21°	$20.3\pm2.89^{de}$	$27.3 \pm 2.74^{de}$	7.50±2.47 <sup>cd</sup>	$20.9{\pm}2.86^{cd}$	$28.0{\pm}2.89^{de}$
Means sharing similar letters within columns are not significantly different ( $P > 0.05$ )						

 Table 4. LC<sub>50</sub> values of different aqueous and alcoholic extracts (7%) of different plant against *Phyllocnistis* citrella larvae at 24h after treatment

	Aqueous			Alcoholic			
	LC <sub>50</sub> ±SE	95% CI	Y=a+bx	LC <sub>50</sub> ±SE	95% CI	Y=a+bx	
Leaf Dip Bioassay							
A. indica	6.81±0.542	5.75-7.88	-1.35+0.198x	6.39±0.464	5.47-7.29	-1.31+0.21x	
M. acuminate	11.72±2.398	7.02-16.42	-1.61+0.137x	$10.48 \pm 1.414$	7.71-13.25	-2.29+0.22x	
E. camaldulensis	7.67±0.464	6.76-8.58	-2.37+0.309x	8.09±0.541	7.035-9.154	-2.50+0.31x	
M. arvensis	9.30±1.172	7.002-11.59	-1.644+0.177x	9.64±1.364	6.97-12.32	-1.57+0.25x	
D. stramonium leaves	8.73±1.088	6.6-10.86	-1.437+0.164x	9.24±1.151	6.99-11.49	-1.66+0.18x	
D. stramonium seed	8.028±1.084	5.902-10.15	-1.093+0.136x	9.51±1.733	6.11-12.91	-1.13+0.23x	
C. colocynthis	8.37±0.781	6.84-9.903	-1.809+0.262x	8.10±0.553	7.02-9.19	-2.46+0.30x	
C. limon	9.73±1.242	7.29-12.16	-1.85+0.276x	9.84±1.217	7.46-12.23	-2.07+0.20x	
Topical bioassay							
A. indica	4.55±0.279	3.98-5.08	-1.24+0.216x	5.11±0.313	4.49-5.722	-1.23+0.22x	
M. acuminate	11.48±2.178	7.21-15.75	-1.68+0.147x	10.30±1.445	7.47-13.13	-1.93+0.19x	
E. camaldulensis	7.59±0.606	6.4-8.8	-1.68+0.222x	7.42±0.514	6.41-8.43	-1.86+0.26x	
M. arvensis	9.05±0.973	7.15-10.96	-1.88+0.274x	9.77±1.410	7.02-12.54	-1.59+0.26x	
D. stramonium leaves	8.21±0.767	6.70-9.71	-1.71+0.208x	8.54±1.019	6.55-10.54	-1.43+0.17x	
D. stramonium seed	7.176±0.537	6.12-8.23	-1.59+0.221x	7.21±0.589	6.05-8.36	-1.46+0.23x	
C. colocynthis	7.53±0.508	6.54-8.53	-1.98+0.264x	7.54±0.66	6.25-8.84	-1.51+0.19x	
C. limon	8.91±0.803	7.35-10.49	-2.324+0.261x	9.99±1.594	6.87-13.12	-1.48+0.15x	

CI= confidence interval, (Y=a+bx is regression equation in which Y denote percent mortality of CLM and x denote respected chemical),  $LC_{50} =$  lethal concertation

dip bioassay method. Similar values were recorded for the topical bioassay, in which the lowest  $LC_{50}$ value of 4.55% was observed for *A. indica* (Table 4). The minimum toxicity against *P. citrella* was observed for *M. acuminate* peel, having an  $LC_{50}$  value of 11.7% in the leaf dip bioassay and 11.48% when applied topically. Similar results were observed for the alcoholic extracts that showed *A. indica* extracts had greater toxicity against *P. citrella* as compared to other extracts. The  $LC_{50}$  value for *A. indica* alcoholic extract was 6.39% for the leaf dip bioassay method. For the topical bioassay, the  $LC_{50}$  value was 5.11%. After *A. indica* extract, *D. stramonium* seed extract also showed toxicity, having  $LC_{50}$  values 9.5%, 7.2% in the leaf dip bioassay and the topical bioassay, respectively. Similar results for an alcoholic extract of *M. acuminate* peel were found to have a greater  $LC_{50}$  value of 10.30% (Table 4).

The combined efficacy of plant aqueous and alcoholic extracts with abamectin is presented in Figure 1 and 2. At ratio 1 (1:2), the mortality was significantly ( $F_{7, 256} = 22.38$ , P<0.001) different among treatments for different solvent nature of botanicals. Abamectin plus the aqueous extract of *A. indica* showed a greater mortality of 48.25% as well as 34.38% in case

Table 5. LC<sub>50</sub> values of abamectin + plant extracts (7%) against *Phyllocnistis citrella* larvae at 24h after treatment

	I+AQ			I+AL			
	LC <sub>50</sub> ±SE	95% CI	Y=a+bx	LC <sub>50</sub> ±SE	95% CI	Y=a+bx	
Leaf Dip Bioassay							
A. indica	$1.74\pm0.19$	1.36-2.12	-0.84+0.48x	1.47±0.15	1.18-1.75	-0.96+0.65x	
M. acuminate	3.46±0.79	1.91-5.02	188+0.54x	$4.39 \pm 2.98$	1.45-10.2	-0.84+0.36x	
E. camaldulensis	3.5±0.81	1.86-5.04	-1.79+0.52x	$2.59\pm0.59$	1.44-3.75	-0.92+0.35x	
M. arvensis	3.58±1.19	1.24-5.93	-1.26+0.35x	$2.94 \pm 0.91$	1.15-4.72	-0.88+0.35x	
D. stramonium leaf	$2.84 \pm 0.63$	1.61-4.08	-1.16+0.36x	$2.72\pm0.71$	1.33-4.11	-0.89+0.33x	
D. stramonium seed	3.63±1.21	1.27-6.00	-1.31+0.36x	3.21±1.19	0.88-5.54	-0.88+0.28x	
C. colocynthis	$3.08 \pm 0.78$	1.54-4.62	-1.21+0.39x	3.16±1.33	0.58-5.77	-0.75+0.24x	
C. limon	4.07±1.36	1.39-6.73	-1.73+0.42x	$2.99 \pm 0.84$	1.35-4.64	-1.02+0.34x	
Topical bioassay							
A. indica	1.61±0.09	1.41-1.79	-1.52+0.94x	0.77±0.56	0.32-186	-0.27+0.35x	
M. acuminate	$3.44 \pm 0.83$	1.82-5.06	-1.73+0.50x	3.06±1.26	0.59-5.52	-0.72+0.24x	
E. camaldulensis	$2.99 \pm 0.47$	2.06-3.91	-1.96+0.66x	2.17±0.36	1.45-2.88	-0.80+0.37x	
M. arvensis	$3.09 \pm 0.70$	1.71-4.46	-1.37+0.45x	$3.09 \pm 1.48$	0.19-5.98	-0.63+0.20x	
D. stramonium leaf	$2.56\pm0.41$	1.76-3.36	-1.27+0.49x	2.43±0.79	0.89-3.97	-0.55+0.23x	
D. stramonium seed	$2.72\pm0.41$	1.91-3.53	-1.57+0.58x	$2.94 \pm 0.91$	1.15-4.73	-0.88+0.30x	
C. colocynthis	3.29±1.09	1.16-5.44	-1.06+0.32x	$2.01\pm0.41$	1.22-2.80	-0.56+0.28x	
C. limon	4.73±2.41	0.003-9.4	-1.39+0.29x	2.49±0.59	1.33-3.66	-0.79+0.32x	

I=insecticides, AQ=aqueous extracts, AL=alcoholic extracts, CI=confidence interval, (Y=a+bx is regression equation in which Y denote percent mortality of CLM and x denote respected chemical)





of alcoholic extracts (Figure 1). When abamectin was mixed with the aqueous extract of *A. indica* for ratio 2 (2:1), the mortality reached to 62.3% and 66.3% when mixed with an alcoholic extract of *A. indica* (Figure 2).

Probit analysis also showed the greater toxicity of alcoholic extract of *A. indica* extracts mixed with abamectin compared to aqueous extracts. The  $LC_{50}$  value for the joint action of abamectin with *A. indica* extract was 1.74% and 1.47%, in aqueous and alcoholic extract, respectively, using leaf dip bioassay methods. As for the topical bioassay, the combined efficacy of abamectin with *A. indica* showed similar results against *P. citrella*. The regression equation showed the negative role of all plant extracts in all combinations against *P. citrella* mortality (Table 5).

#### 4. Discussion

Many plants with insecticidal properties should be considered worthy as insect control strategies because of the excellent availability of plant resources, viability and cost effectiveness (Kumar *et al.*, 2012). Plant extracts are also an eco-friendly approach to pest control, considering their biodegradable nature.



**Fig. 2.** Percent mortality (mean±SE) of *Phyllocnistis citrella* larvae using aqueous and alcoholic plant extracts mixing with abamectin (Ab) at ratio 2 (2:1 of abamectin with extracts), Means sharing similar letters are not significantly different at P>0.05

In our study, A. indica leaf extract showed that in both types of solvent (aqueous and alcoholic), there was greater mortality of P. citrella compared to other plant extracts. The superior efficacy may be due to the triterpenoid compound azadirachtin which interferes with the ecdysis process and affects normal growth and development of larvae (Nisbet, 2000). Prior studies confirmed the effectiveness of azadirachtin against different insect pests, Toxoptera citricida i.e., (Kirklady) (Hemiptera: Aphididae), Brevicoryne brassicae (L.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae), Acyrthosiphon pisum Harris, (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Ceratitus capitata (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae), Plutella xvlostella (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) (L.) and foveicollis Aulacophora Lucas (Chrysomelidae: Coleoptera) [Pandao et al. (1992); Raveendran et al. (1998); Ukey et al. (1999); Charleston et al. (2005); Kraiss & Cullen (2008); Italo et al. (2009); Kumral et al. (2010) and Ali et al. (2011)]. According to Sarvanan (2000), azadirachtin 5ml/L showed 80% mortality of P. citrella. Melia azedarach L. extract, a close relative of A. indica was also proven effective against P. citrella (Mckenna et al., 2013).

The mortality rate of P. citrella larvae showed significant variations in respect to different botanical extracts, concentration and time interval. Our results showed greater mortality of P. citrella at a higher concentration and а higher post treatment interval. It may be due to different exposure durations of botanicals and rates of persistence at different concentration (Khan et al., 2015). It is possible that the easy metabolism of diluted extracts, as compared to a more concentrated extract, may lead to slow metabolism and persists for a longer period (Bashir et al., 2013). Khan et al. (2015) documented that greater mortality of A. foveicollis at 96h is due to a longer exposure to a treated surface that increases the ingestion of a quantity of toxicants in their digestive tracts. Similarly, Osman et al. (2013) also confirmed higher mortality of A. foveicollis using neem extract at 7.5% concentration. According to Yankanchi et al. (2014), sluggish behavior, loss of equilibrium and inability get the lead out on an A. aegypti larval body with contact to high concentrations of plant extracts. It has also been observed that P. citrella larval mortality fluctuates significantly at higher concentrations which act as an oviposition deterrent (Liu et al., 2001).

In our study, two different application methods were tested to check the efficacy of botanicals against P. citrella larvae. The results showed that topical application was a better application method for selected botanicals as compared to the leaf dip method. Pascual-Villalobos & Fernández (1999) also showed that squill bulbs (Urginea maritima (L.) Baker) caused greater mortality when applied topically when compared to those that were mixed in the diet. The choice of tested insect and type of bioassay may also affect the outcome of a screening (Cole, 1994). According to Mafi & Ohbayashi (2006), the percentage mortality of P. citrella eggs exposed to different insecticides using the dip method ranged between 3 to 44%, and almost over 90% mortality was observed in the first instar larvae of P. citrella. However, during the new flush emergence of citrus, the neem formulations can be useful by spraying as prophylactic sprays to manage P. citrella (Javanthi & Verghese, 2007). Prior studies have espoused different assumptions about the efficacy of insecticides against different insect pests using different bioassay techniques (Leibee & Savage, 1992; Dennehy et al., 1983). Two different solvents were used to prepare plant extracts and to check their effectiveness against P. citrella larvae. The polarity of the solvent is an important factor in a toxicity study (Khan et al., 2015). In general, the aqueous extract showed promising result against P. citrella larvae. However, the variations in percent mortality of P. citrella after application of plant extracts having a different solvent nature could be due to the difference in the dissolving nature of extracts (Rizvi et al., 2012; Koubala et al., 2013). The dissolving nature of the active ingredients

of plant extracts in a particular solvent can differ, and it may have a synergistic effect with a respective solvent when considering their efficacy against a pest (Oyedokun *et al.*, 2011).

Results indicated that *P. citrella* mortality was higher when the combination treatment (abamectin with plant extracts) was applied as compared to the extracts alone. The combination of abamectin with *A. indica* extracts showed greater mortality of *P. citrella* as compared to other plant extracts but the mortality was not satisfactory, i.e., 50% in ratio 1 (1:2). By mixing abamectin with *A. indica* at the 2:1 ratio, a higher *P. citrella* mortality (>60%) was observed. Previous studies confirmed higher combined efficacies of an insecticide and botanicals against different insect pests (Caraballo, 2000; Seyoum *et al.*, 2002; Kalayanasundaram & Das, 1985; Thangam & Kathiresan, 1990; Mohan *et al.*, 2006, 2007; Shaalan *et al.*, 2005a,b).

Our results were also in line with Jayanthi & Verghes (2007), who reported that botanicals showed synergism with cypermethrin against P. citrella. The problem of resistance in insect pest populations can be minimized using such strategies (Mesbah et al., 2006, 2007; Mahmoud, 2007). Other useful aspects of this mixture could be cost effectiveness, easy preparation and availability of insecticide as part of integrated management techniques. Similarly, the efficiency of chemicals can be enhanced by mixing with plant extract and mineral oils for better coverage of plants and for the penetration of chemicals into the surface of leaves (Bográn et al., 2006). The current results showed neem extract as a potential botanical insecticide against P. citrella larvae, and it should be further investigated to classify as an IPM-compatible insecticide.

#### 5. Conclusion

In summary, this work showed that A. indica and other plant extracts have variable degrees of effectiveness against P. citrella larvae. The aqueous plant extracts at their higher concentrations cause higher mortalities of P. citrella larvae by topical application. A. indica is compatible with abamectin and can be used alone or in combination against the P. citrella in an integrated management program for this pest. However, such combinations are effective in controlled conditions. Further investigations are needed to explore their efficacy against this pest in field conditions in order to assess their compatibility with natural enemies before they could be recommended to the growers. Future study would focus on the abundance of the biological control agents of P. citrella in Pakistan and the effect of the botanicals on *P. citrella* and its associated natural enemies in the field.

Evaluation of plant extracts for the management of citrus leafminer, Phyllocnistis citrella (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) 64

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the authorities for use of the laboratory facilities at the Institute of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Sargodha.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

#### References

Abbott, W. (1925). A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 18: 265–267.

Ali, H., Ahmad, S., Hassan, G., Amin, A. & Naeem, M. (2011). Efficacy of different botanicals against red pumpkin beetle (*Aulacophora foveicollis*) in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia L.*). Pakistan Journal of Weed Science Research, 17: 65-71.

**Amiri-Besheli, B. (2008).** Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis*, mineral oil, insecticidal emulsion and insecticidal gel against *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Plant Protection Science, 44(2): 68–73.

Amiri-Besheli, B. (2009). Toxicity evaluation of Tracer, Palizin, Sirinol, Runner and Tondexir with and without mineral oils on *Phylocnistis citrella* Stainton. African Journal of Biotechnology, 8(14): 3382–3386.

Arshad, M., Ullah, M.I., Afzal, M., Aatif, H.M., Iftikhar, Y., *et al.* (2018). Association of citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) damage with physiological parameters and larval weight in *Citrus reticulate*. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science, 38(1): 26-32. doi:10.1017/S1742758417000273.

Bashir, M.H., Gogi, M.D., Ashfaq, M., Afzal, M., Khan, M.A. & Ihsan, M. (2013). The efficacy of crude aqueous extracts of some plants as grain protectants against the stored grain mite, *Rhizoglyphus tritici*. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 37: 585-594.

**Beattie, A. (2004).** Citrus leafminer, 4th Ed.. NSW Department of Primary Industries, University of Western Sydney, Australia, http://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/\_\_data/assets/pdf\_file/0006/137634/citrus-leafminer.pdf.

**Beattie, G.A. (1993).** Integrated control of the citrus leafminer. NSW Agriculture, Rydalmere, NSW, Australia CAB, IIBC. Beattie A. Citrus leaf miner. 4. Australia: NSW Department of Primary Industries, University.

Belmain, S., Neal, G., Ray, D. & Golob, P. (2001).

Insecticidal and vertebrate toxicity associated with ethnobotanicals used as post-harvest protectants in Ghana. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 39: 287–291.

**Bográn, C.E., Ludwig, S. & Metz, B. (2006).** Using oils as pesticides. Texas A&M University System; AgriLife Extension. E-41911/06. http://insects.tamu.edu/extension/publications/epubs/e-419.cfm

Broderick, N.A., Goodman, R.M., Raffa, K.F. & Handelsman, J. (2000). Synergy between Zwitttermicin A and BT subsp Krustaki against gypsy moth (Lepidoptera: Lymanteridae). Environmental Entomology, 29: 101-07. CABI. (2017). Citrus leafminer (*Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton). Wallingford, UK: CAB International. http://www.cabi.org/isc/datasheet/40831.

**Caraballo, A.J. (2000).** Mosquito repellent action of Neemos. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association, 16(1): 45-46.

**Carlini, C.R. & Grossi-de Sá, M.F. (2002).** Plant toxic proteins with insecticidal properties. A review on their potentialities as bioinsecticides. Toxicon, 40: 1515-539.

Charleston, D.S., Kfir, R., Vet, L.E.M. & Dicke, M. (2005). Behavior response of diamondback moth, *Plute-lla xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to extract derived from *Melia azedarach* and *Azadirachta indica*. Bulletin of Entomological Research, 95(5): 457-465.

**Cole, M.D. (1994).** Key antifungal, antibacteri al and antifeedant assay, a critical review. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology, 22(8): 837-856.

**Dennehy, T.J., Grannett, J. & Leigh, T.F. (1983).** Relevance of slide-dip and residual bioassay comparisons to detection of resistance in spider mites. Journal of Economic Entomology, 76: 1125-1230.

Farooq, M. & Freed, S. (2018). Insecticidal activity of toxic crude proteins secreted by entomopathogenic fungi against *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae). Kuwait Journal of Science, 45(2): 64-74.

Grzywacz, D., Stevenson, P.C., Mushobozi, W.L., Belmain, S. & Wilson, K. (2014). The use of indigenous ecological resources for pest control in Africa. Food Security, 6: 71–86

**Isman, M.B. (2006)**. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. Annual Review of Entomology, 51:45-66.

Italo, C.G., Amanda, H.F. & Diego, L.R. (2009). Physical and chemical characterization of *Melia aze* 

### 65 Muhammad Arshad, Muhammad I. Ullah, Muhammad Afzal, Samina Khalid, Abu Bakar M. Raza, Yasir Iftikhar

*darach* L. fruit and leaf for use as botanical insecticide. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 69: 38-45.

Jayanthi, P.D.K. & Verghese, A. (2007). Synergistic effect of insecticide-botanical mixtures on citrus leaf miner, *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton. Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems, 13(2): 128-13.

Kalayanasundaram, M. & Das, P.K. (1985). Larvicidal and synergistic activity of plant extracts for mosquito control. Indian Journal of Medical Research, 82: 19-21.

Khan, R.R., Ahmed, S., Arshad, M. & Sami-Ullah. (2015). Laboratory assessment of repellency and insecticidal efficacy of some plant extracts against adults of red pumpkin beetle (*Aulacophora foveicollis* Lucas). Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences, 13(1): 49-57.

Khattak, M.K. & Rashid, M. (2006). Evaluation of neem *Azadirachta indica* and neem oil on survivorship, development and fecundity of *Aphis glycines* (Homoptera: Aphididae) and its predator, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Pest Management Science, 64: 660-668.

Koubala, B.B., Miafo, A.P.T., Bouba, B., Kamda, A.G.S. & Kansci, G. (2013). Evaluation of insecticide properties of ethanolic extract from *Balanites aegyptiaca*, *Melia azedarach* and *Ocimum gratissimum* leaves on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 5: 93-101.

Kraiss, H. & Cullen, E.M. (2008). Insect growth regulator effects of azadirachtin and neem oil on survivorship, development and fecundity of *Aphis glycines* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and its predator, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Pest Management Science, 64(6): 660-668. doi: 10.1002/ps.1541.

Kumar, P., Murugan, M.K., Kovendan, K., Subramaniam, J. & Amaresan, D. (2012). Mosquito larvicidal and pupicidal efficacy of *Solanum xanthocarpum* (Family: Solanaceae) leaf extract and bacterial insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, against *Culex quinquefasciatus* Say (Diptera: Culicidae). Parasitology Research, 110: 2541-2550.

Kumral, N.A., Cobanoglu, S. & Yalcin, C. (2010). Acaricidal, repellent and oviposition deterrent activities of *Datura stramonium* L. against adult Tetranychus urticae (Koch). Journal of Pest Science, 83: 173-180.

Lacey, I.A., Fruteos, R., Kaya, H.K. & Vail, P. (2001). Insect pathogens as a biological control agent: Do they have a future? Biological Control, 21: 230-248.

Leibee, G.L. & Savage, K.E. (1992). Insecticide resistance in diamondback moth in Florida, In Talekar,

N.S. [Ed.]. Management of diamondback moth and other crucifer pests. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center: Shanhua, Taiwan. pp. 427-435.

Liu, Z., Hodgkinson, M., Rose, H. & Jiang, L. (2001). Influence of petroleum-derived spray oil aromaticity, equivalent n-paraffin carbon number and emulsifier concentration on oviposition by citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). Australian Journal of Entomology, 40: 193-197.

Mafi, S.A. & Ohbayashi, N. (2006). Toxicity of insecticides to the citrus leafminer, *Phyllocnistis citrella*, and its parasitoids, *Chrysocharis pentheus* and *Sympiesis striatipes* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology, 41: 33-39.

**Mahmoud, M.F. (2007).** Combining the botanicals insecticides NSK extract, NeemAzal T 5 % Neemmix, 4.5 % and entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema feltiae* cross N33 to control the peach fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders). Plant Protection Science, 43: 19-25.

Manzoor, F., Zafar, A. & Iqbal, I. (2016). *Het*erotermesindicola (Wasmann) (Isoptera: Rhinotermitidae) responses to extracts from three different plants. Kuwait Journal of Science, 43(3): 128-134.

Mckenna, M.M., Abou-Fakhr Hammad, E.M. & Farran, M.T. (2013). Effect of *Melia azedarach* (Sapindales: Meliaceae) fruit extracts on citrus leafminer *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae). SpringerPlus, 2: 144. doi:10.1186/2193-1801-2-144.

Mesbah, H.A., Saad, A.S., Taman, F.A. & Mohamed, I.B. (2007). Joint action of quercetin with four insecticides on the cotton leaf worm larvae *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) (Lepidoptera) in Egypt. Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences, 72: 455-457.

Mesbah, H.A., Mourad, A.K. & Rokaia, A.Z. (2006). Efficacy of some plant oils alone and combined with different insecticides on the cotton leaf worm *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) (Lepidoptera) in Egypt. Communications in Agricultural and Applied Biological Sciences, 71: 305-328.

Mohan, L., Sharma, P. & Srivastava, C.N. (2006). Evaluation of *Solanum xanthocarpum* extract as a synergist for cypermethrin against larvae of the filarial vector Culex quinquefasciatus (Say). Entomological Research, 36: 220-225.

Mohan, L., Sharma, P. & Srivastava, C.N. (2007). Comparative efficacy of *Solanum xanthocarpum* extracts alone and in combination with a synthetic pyrethroid, Cypermethrin against malaria vector, *Anopheles stephensi*. SE. Evaluation of plant extracts for the management of citrus leafminer, Phyllocnistis citrella (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) 66

Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine, 38(2): 256-260.

**Nisbet, A.J. (2000).** Azadirachtin from the neem tree *Azadirachta indica*: its action against insects. Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil, 29(4): 615-632.

**Osman, S., Uddin, M.M. & Adnan, S.M. (2013).** As sessment of the performance of different botanicals and chemical insecticides in controlling red pumpkin beetle *Aulacophora foveicollis* (Lucas). International Journal of Agricultural Science Research, 2: 258-264.

**Oyedokun, A.V., Anikwe, J.C., Okelana, F.A., Mokwunye, I.U. & Azeez, O.M. (2011).** Pesticidal efficacy of three tropical herbal plants' leaf extracts against *Macrotermes bellicosus*, an emerging pest of cocoa, *Theobroma cacao* L. Journal of Biopesticide, 4(2): 131-137.

Pandao, S.K., Mahajan, K.R., Aherkar, S.K., Muqueen, A. & Thakare, H.S. (1992). Efficacy of some herbal products and insecticides against tur pod fly *Melanagromyza obtuse* Mall on Rabi Pigeon pea (Cajanus cajan L.) var. C-11. Pestology, 15(8): 8-10.

**Pascual-Villalobos, M.J. & Fernández, M. (1999).** Insecticidal activity of ethanolic extracts of *Urginea maritima* (L.) Baker bulbs. Industrial Crops and Products, 10: 115-120.

**Raguraman, S. & Singh, R.P. (1999).** Biological effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) seed oil on an egg parasitoid, *Trichogramma Chilonis*. Journal of Economic Entomology, 92: 1274-1280.

Raveendran, N., Selvanaryanan, V., Ganesan, S. & Raman, K. (1998). Bioefficacy of certain botanical formula tions against the groundnut leaf miner, *Aproaerema modicella* (Gelechiidae: Lepidoptera). Pestology, 22(1): 26-28.

Rizvi, R., Mahmood, I., Tiyagi, S.I. & Khan, Z. (2012). Effect of some botanicals for the management of plant-parasitic nematodes and soil-inhabiting fungi infesting chickpea. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry, 36: 710-719.

Sarvanan, L. (2000). Seasonal occurrence, biology and control of citrus leaf miner *Phyllocnistis citrella* Stainton (Phyllocnistidae: Lepidoptera). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis submitted to Andhra Pradesh Agricultural University, Hyderabad. pp. 104.

Seyoum, A., Palsson, K., Kunga, S., Kabiru, E.W., Lwande, W., *et al.* (2002). Traditional use of mosquito repellent plants in western Kenya and their evaluation in semi-field experimental huts against *Anopheles gambiae*: ethnobotanical studies and application by thermal expulsion and direct burning. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 96(3): 225-231. Shaalan, E.A., Canyon, D.V., Younes, M.W., Abdel-Wahab, H. & Mansour, A.H. (2005a). Effects of sub-lethal concentrations of synthetic insecticides and *Callitris glaucophylla* extracts on the development of Aedes aegypti. Journal of Vector Ecology, 30: 295-298.

Shaalan, E.A., Canyon, D.V., Younes, M.W., Abdel-Wahab, H. & Mansour, A.H. (2005b). Synergistic efficacy of botanical blends with and without synthetic insecticides against *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex annulirostris* mosquitoes. Journal of Vector Ecology, 30: 284-288.

Shapiro, J.P., Schroeder, W.J. & Stansly, P.A. (1998). Bioassay and efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and an organosilicone surfactant against the citrus leafminer (Lepidoptera: Phyllocnistidae). Florida Entomologist, 81: 201-210.

Thangam, T.S. & Kathiresan, K. (1990). Synergistic effects of insecticides with plant extracts on mosquito larvae. Tropical Biomedicine, 7: 135-137.

**Ukeh, D.A., Emosairu, S.O., Udo, I.A. & Ofem, U.A.** (2007). Field evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) products for the management of lepidopterous stem borer of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Calabar, Nigeria. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, 2: 653-658.

Ukey, S.P., Saroda, S.V., Naitam, N.R. & Patil, M.J. (1999). Evaluation of plant products in comparison with conventional insecticides against the pests of cowpea. Pestology, 23: 23-25.

Yankanchi, S.R., Yadav, O.V. & Jadhav, G.S. (2014). Synergistic and individual efficacy of certain plant extracts against dengue vector mosquito, Aedes aegypti. Journal of Biopesticide, 7(1): 22-28.

Yumruktepe, R., Aytas, M., Erkilic, L., Yigit, A., Canhilal, R., *et al.* (1996). Chemical control of the citrus leafminer and side-effects of effective pesticides on natural enemies in Turkey. In Hoy, M.A. [Ed.]. Proceedings from an International Conference on Managing the Citrus leafminer, 23-25 April 1996, University of Florida, Gainesville, Orlando, Florida.

Zhang, F.P., Liu, H.F., Luo, Y.Q., Jin, Q. & Fu, Y.G. (2006).Repellenteffectsofthealcoholextractsof25tropical plants to *Oligonychus biharensis*. Plant Protection, 4: 57-60.

*Submitted:* 27-04-2018 *Revised:* 11-06-2018 *Accepted:* 26-06-2018

# تقييم مستخلصات نباتية لمكافحة حشرة حافرة أنفاق الحمضيات *Phyllocnistis citrella* (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae)

<sup>1</sup> محمد أرشد ، <sup>1</sup> محمد أو لاه ، <sup>1</sup> محمد أفضل ، <sup>2</sup> سمينه خالد ،<sup>1</sup> أبو بكر رازا ، <sup>3</sup> ياسر افتخار

<sup>1</sup> قسم الحشرات، جامعة سر غودا، 40100، سر غودا، باكستان <sup>2</sup> قسم العلوم البيئية، معهد COMSATS لتكنولوجيا المعلومات، فيهاري <sup>3</sup> قسم علم أمراض النبات، جامعة سر غودا، 40100، سر غودا، باكستان *\*mirfanullah@uos.edu.pk* 

#### الملخص

هي آفة رئيسية تُصيب (CLM) ، Phyllocnistis citrella Stainton (Lepidoptera: Gracillariidae) ، (DLM) حافرة أنفاق الحمضيات المائية الحمضيات، وتتغذى على جميع أصنافها تقريباً، وكذلك بعض الفصائل الشبيهة بها. لقد قمنا بتقييم فعالية المستخلصات المائية الحمضيات، وتتغذى على جميع أصنافها تقريباً، وكذلك بعض الفصائل الشبيهة بها. لقد قمنا بتقييم فعالية المستخلصات المائية المعتدارة والعصائل الشبيهة بها. لقد قمنا بتقييم فعالية المستخلصات المائية المعنيات، وتتغذى على جميع أصنافها تقريباً، وكذلك بعض الفصائل الشبيهة بها. لقد قمنا بتقييم فعالية المستخلصات المائية المعتدان، وتتغذى على جميع أصنافها تقريباً، وكذلك بعض الفصائل الشبيهة بها. لقد قمنا بتقييم فعالية المستخلصات المائية المعتدان المائية المعتدان المعنان المائية المعتد وسلما المائية (Dehn.), mint Mentha arvensis L., datura Datura stramonium L., tumma Citrullus colocynthis (L.) and lemon Citrus limon (L.) صد يرقات المحضعية. ولاحظنا أعلى نسبة وفيات لمعدل (1.77) في المستخلص المائي من نبات Decitrella على نسبة وفيات المصنعية. ولاحظنا أعلى نسبة وفيات لمعدل (1.77) ولي المعنتية الأخرى وذلك بعد كله. معدل (1.78) مقارنة بباقي المستخلصات النباتية الأخرى وذلك بعد 24 معدل (1.78) مقارنة بباقي المع صنية المعنية ولي الخرى وذلك بعد 24 معد (1.77) وفي المستخلصات الكولية منه بمعدل (1.78) مقارنة منا بباقي المستخلصات النباتية الأخرى وذلك بعد 24 معلى معدل (1.78) من تطبيق عمس الأوراق وكانت الموضعي المعن وذلك بعد 24 معلى معدل (1.78) من تطبيق عمس الأوراق وكانت 1.75 مع معالية المعنيق الموضعي لمستخلصات المائية (2.88) مقارنة مع جميع المستخلصات الأخرى وذلك بعد 34 معلى معدل (1.78) معدل (1.78) من تطبيق عمس الأوراق وكانت أي منتية المعنيق الموضعي المائية (2.88) مقارنة مع جميع المستخلصات الأخرى وذلك بعن قويات المائي وكان أوراق وكانت المؤراق وكانت المؤررق وكانت الموضعي الموضعي الذي كان قل مقارنة مع جميع المستخلصات الأخرى وذلك كانت نسبة وفيات المائية (2.88) مقار أوراق وكانت الحريق على التوبيق الموضعي الذي كان قل مقارنة مع جميع المستخلصات الأخرى ودنات معدن وفيات المولية والكولية والكورية) أول فعالية ضد يرقات الموزى ويانت معدن وونيات معانية ولمائية والكولية والكولية والكوري والونانية مع حمي الأخرى ودنات الموز عين