Gamze Koyuncu Türkay*, Halil Kumbur

Dept. of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Mersin University, 33343, Mersin, Turkey *Corresponding author: gamzekoyuncu.33@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigated the removal of amoxicillin (AMO) antibiotic and chemical oxygen demand (COD) by Fenton (Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2) and photocatalytic (UV-A/TiO₂) oxidation processes in aqueous solutions. In experiments, pH, antibiotic, Fe^{2+} , H_2O_2 , TiO₂ concentrations and reaction time parameters were examined. In the Fenton process, the removal efficiencies of initial AMO and COD concentrations were 83% and 66%, respectively. The Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 molar ratio was determined as 1/15. In the photocatalytic process, AMO and COD removal efficiencies were 62% and 52%, respectively. The results indicate that these two processes may enhance the rate of AMO removal in polluted water and could be used as a preliminary treatment or as an alternative to existing treatment systems.

Keywords: Amoxicillin; antibiotic removal; chemical oxygen demand (COD); Fenton oxidation; photocatalytic oxidation.

1. Introduction

The most common usage of antibiotics is to treat bacterial infections in humans and animals. Other uses are in hospitals, food and pharmaceutical industries, aquaculture cultivation, and in scientific research (Savcı, 2016). Antibiotics are complex and hardy biodegradable organic compounds, having been a cause for concern due to their toxic effects on the human body and natural life (Kummerer, 2009b; Luo *et al.*, 2014). By oral application of antibiotics, only 10-20% is metabolized, while the remaining 80-90% is expelled from the body directly and indirectly into the ecosystem (Hernando *et al.*, 2006).

The presence of antibiotics and their residues in various water sources can lead to the occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. These compounds damage or destroy natural aquatic micro-organisms required for biological wastewater treatment. Existing conventional biological wastewater treatment plants are not efficient enough to remove pharmaceuticals (Rizzo *et al.*, 2013; Matongo *et al.*, 2015).

Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are an efficient and environmentally-friendly method which are used to generate hydroxyl radicals (OH•, E=2.8 V) in ambient conditions. Then OH• oxidizes, emerging contaminants to H₂O and CO₂ end products.

Many studies discuss the removal of antibiotics from different water sources (see Trovó *et al.*, 2011; Li *et al.*, 2012; Dimitrakopoulou *et al.*, 2012; Oros-Ruiz, 2013; Sheydaei *et al.*, 2014; Santos, 2015; Dehghani *et al.*, 2015). Fenton and photocatalytic processes are highly applied methods of AOPs. Fenton technology is based on an electron transfer between ferrous ion (Fe²⁺) as a catalyst and H₂O₂ as an oxidant in acidic conditions (Dehghani *et al.*, 2015). In photocatalytic process, ultraviolet light (UV) is used to excite the electrons with a semiconductor catalyst (such as TiO₂, ZnO etc.), having valance and conduction energy bands, based on the action of positively charged holes. These holes react with water on the surface of a photocatalyst and generate oxidants such as OH[•] and a superoxide (O₂^{-•}) radical (Meeroff *et al.*, 2012).

Penicillins, as a classification, are the most widely consumed antibiotics in Turkey and other countries (Turkdogan & Yetilmezsoy, 2009; WHO,2011-2014). AMO belongs to the penicillin, used as a β -lactam antibiotic for human and animal health for the prevention of bacterial infections caused by gram-negative and gram-positive organisms such as various allergies, infections (Jung *et al.*, 2012; Napoleao *et al.*, 2015).

This study evaluated the advanced oxidation of antibiotic amoxicillin in aqueous solution by Fenton and photocatalytic processes in terms of amoxicillin and COD removal and to see if these systems could be a pertinent way to eliminate the drug in wastewater treatment plants. The effects of pH, initial antibiotic, Fe²⁺, H₂O₂, and TiO₂ concentrations, reaction time, and the Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 molar ratio on the degradation of AMO and COD were investigated. Optimum values of these operating were determined. The Fenton parameters and photocatalyitc reagent dosages specified were based on the initial COD value for the efficient treatment because COD is a specified oxidant reacting with the sample under these conditions.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Amoxicillin $(C_{16}H_{19}N_{3}O_{5}S = 365.4 \text{ g mol}^{-1})$ was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The chemical structure of AMO is given in Figure 1.



Fig. 1. Chemical structure of AMO

Other chemicals were obtained from Merck. COD test kits $(0-1500 \text{ mg O}_2/\text{L})$ were supplied from Hach Lange, USA.

2.2. Experimental procedure

A stock solution of AMO (500 mg L⁻¹) was prepared in ultrapure water and stored at 4° C. The experiments were performed at different pHs 2-7 for the Fe^{2+/} H₂O₂ process and 3, 7, 11 for the UV-A/TiO₂ process, different concentrations of Fe²⁺ (28-280 mg L⁻¹), H₂O₂ (170-1700 mg L⁻¹), AMO (10-100 mg L⁻¹), TiO₂ (200-1000 mg L⁻¹), and for time ranges from 5 to 60 minutes.

For the Fenton experiments, synthetic wastewater samples contained in 100 mL a dark Pyrex reactor were stirred at 120 rpm with a magnetic bar at 25° C for 30 min (Figure 2). To prevent the interferences of H₂O₂ on COD removal, 1 M of MnO, was also added. After sedimentation, 15 mL of the sample were centrifuged (6000 rpm, 15 min) and filtered using a membrane filter (0.45 µm) to measure of AMO and COD amounts.



A volume of 1000 mL of the sample was introduced into 2000 mL of water in a jacketed pyrex glass UV reactor. The sample was then exposed to a UV-A black light bulb (T5 6 W, 24 cm, 315- 400 nm) with an irradiation time of 30 min at 150 rpm (Figure 3). During

the experiments, the temperature (25° C) of the reactor was controlled by using a thermometer. The samples were analyzed at the same conditions as the Fenton experiments to determine the removal of AMO and COD.



Fig. 3. Photocatalytic process setup

2.3. Analytical methods

A UV-visible spectrophotometer (T90+ UV-visible, PG Instruments) was used and the absorbance of AMO was determined as 230 nm. COD of all the samples was measured by using a Hach DR/2010 spectrophotometer according to standard methods (APHA, AWWA, WPCF, 2005). pH was measured by a WTW Multi 340i pH meter.

The experiments were performed in IKA-Werke RT-5 Power and Yellow Line magnetic stirrers. For the centrifuge processing of samples, Hettich EBA20 was used. The residual H₂O₂ was determined by the iodometric titration method (Jeffery et al., 1989; Kang & Chang, 1997).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of pH

In Fenton experiments, the effect of pH shows that as pH increased from 2 to 7, the removal of AMO and COD decreased (Figure 4). The data show that the maximum reduction rates of AMO and COD were achieved at pH 3 for more than 77% and 85% at 30 min.

pH is very important for oxidation influencing the production of OH[•], the substrate and oxidant activities, the formation of iron, and the decomposition of H₂O₂. The removal efficiency of AMO and COD reduce for lower and higher pHs due to the ionization states of the substrate and the catalyst. This is because Fe²⁺ is tended a better dissolution at acidic pHs (2-5) in water (Kuo, 1992).

H₂O₂ has greater stability due to the formation of an oxonium ion $(H_3O_2^+)$ reducing the regeneration of Fe²⁺ at low pHs (Kwon et al., 1999). Also, the pH value below optimal can inhibit the reaction of Fe³⁺ ion and H₂O₂ each other (Pignatello, 1992). Some researchers also suggest that the production of the OH• is scavenged in the presence of a high concentration of H⁺ (Lucas & Peres, 2006).

A pH value above optimal can affect H_2O_2 decomposition range due to the absence of H+ decreasing the generation of OH•. H_2O_2 is more unstable reduced ability to oxidation at higher pH (Walling, 1975). Besides, the Fe²⁺ catalyst loses its activity with the formation of ferric oxyhydroxide (Fe(OH)₃), precipitating and scavenging generated OH• under alkaline conditions (CO₃ and HCO₃) (Buxton *et al.*, 1988). In the UV-A/TiO₂ process, a pH of 7 was optimal for AMO and COD removal for more than 90% at 30 min. AMO and COD removal did not change considerably for pH 7 and higher (Figure 5). Photocatalytic oxidation efficiency increases in neutral and alkaline pH values. pH affects the electrostatic load of the TiO₂ surface at photocatalytic reactions.

 TiO_2 particles are shown to be granule in an aqueous medium due to the pH and ionic strength (French *et al.*, 2009). It is known that agglomeration of TiO_2 particles is lower at acidic conditions than alkaline ones (Li *et al.*, 2010).

3.2. Effect of Fe^{2+} concentration

The removal efficiency of AMO and COD increased from 68.4% to 82.06% as the concentration of Fe²⁺ increased from 28 to 112 mg L⁻¹. It did not change significantly above this value.

On the other hand, the minimum COD removal efficiency was 82.26% at 28 mg L⁻¹ Fe²⁺, and mineralization of COD was the completely achieved at 280 mg L⁻¹ Fe²⁺ dosage at 30 min. As shown in Figure 6, the oxidation of AMO and COD increased with an increasing Fe²⁺ value. As the dosage of Fe²⁺ increases, the redox reaction and coagulation become complete. When Fe²⁺ is above 112 mg L⁻¹, it is consumed rapidly or regenerated slowly and then oxidized to Fe^{3+} at the end of the reaction (Kavitha & Palanivelu, 2004). Moreover, Fe³⁺ may react with OH[•] and precipitate as $Fe(OH)_{2}$ above 112 mgL¹. Therefore, 112 mg L^1 of Fe^{2+} was reported as the optimum concentration.



Fig. 4. Effect of pH on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in Fe²⁺/H₂O₂ process



Fig. 5. Effect of pH on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in UV-A/TiO, process



Fig. 6. Effect of Fe²⁺ on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in Fe²⁺/H₂O₂ process

3.3. Effect of H_2O_2 concentration and residual H_2O_2 The effect of H_2O_2 concentration on AMO and COD removal is shown in Figure 7. The best removal of AMO and COD concentration was observed at 1020 mg L⁻¹ of H_2O_2 (78.55%). AMO removal did not change significantly for 1020 mg L⁻¹ H_2O_2 dosage and higher. At higher peroxide concentrations, the excess H_2O_2 can act as a scavenger for OH•, forming hydroperoxyl (•HO₂), which is a free radical that has a lower oxidation capacity than OH• (Arslan-Alaton & Dogruel, 2004; Tony *et al.*, 2009a).

A higher dosage of H₂O₂ increases in percent degradation. However, some organic compounds, which are hard to oxidize, reduce the performance of H₂O₂ (Kang & Hwang, 2000; Lin & Lo, 1997). Furthermore, residual H₂O₂ in water can be toxic to microorganisms, and require a different water treatment process to deal with biological debris (Barbusiński & Filipek, 2003; Wang et al., 2017) Therefore, the additional concentration of H₂O₂ into a sample reduce the system's efficiency. A lower dosage of H₂O₂ does not product sufficient OH• radicals to cause full decomposition. For this reason 1020 mg L⁻¹ H₂O₂ were accepted as the optimal value. After Fenton oxidation, the residual concentration of H₂O₂ interferes with COD analysis in the treated water, which causes the COD value to increase. Table 1 shows the change in the amount of H_2O_2 during the reaction. It was observed that an Fe²⁺ ion reacted with 85% H₂O₂ after approximately 20 min.

Table 1. The results of the residual hydrogen peroxide concentration of AMO for optimum conditions

Parameter	Initial H ₂ O ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	Residual H ₂ O ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)
pH, 3	1020	102
Fe ²⁺ ,112 mg L ⁻¹	1020	153
H ₂ O ₂ , 1020 mg L ⁻¹	1020	289
Conc.,100 mg L ⁻¹	1020	85
Time, 20 min	1020	153

3.4. Effect of TiO₂ concentration

Data regarding the effect of the TiO₂ concentration is given in Figure 8. The results reveal that as the concentration of TiO₂ increased from 200 to 1000 mg L⁻¹, there was a decrease in the removal of AMO and COD. Hence, the optimum value of TiO₂ was determined to be 200 mg L⁻¹. This is advantageous in terms of cost for the use of chemicals.

Dimitrakopoulou *et al.* (2012) reported that Degussa P25, a catalyst consisting mainly of anatase and rutile, could achieve total degradation of AMO after 25 min. The researchers also showed that 93% could be mineralized after 90 min of reaction.

Elmolla & Chaudhuri (2010b) found 42% AMO degraded after 300 min with UV-A irradiation and at 500 mg L^{-1} pure anatase TiO₂. However, in this study, the best efficiencies of AMO degradation and COD removal were 90.96% and 98.32% using 200 mg L^{-1}



Fig. 7. Effect of H_2O_2 on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 pro-



Fig. 8. Effect of TiO2 on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in UV-A/TiO, process

pure anatase TiO_2 at 30 min. This is completely related to the experimental design factors, such as antibiotic and TiO_2 concentrations, reaction times, mixing speeds, type of catalyst, reactor geometry, and light source.

3.5. Effect of antibiotic concentration

The initial concentration of AMO has an important role due to the performance limitation UV-A/TiO₂ processes. of Fe²⁺/H₂O₂ and Figure 9 shows that AMO degradation efficiency improved from 52.92% to 82.01% with the increase of AMO concentration, and the COD removal efficiency also increased from 23.08% to 79.67% from 10 to 100 mg L^{-1} within 30 min. It was shown that the removal efficiency of AMO and COD increased with the increase of initial concentrations by the Fenton process. Thus, high doses of H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ may be required to degrade AMO effectively.

According to Figure 10, the efficiencies of AMO degradation and COD removal slowly decreased while the initial AMO concentration increased from 10 to 100 mgL⁻¹ in the photocatalytic process. The increase of antibiotic concentration also increases the amount of antibiotic adsorbed to the photocatalyst surface. However, the photoactive regions on the catalyst surface are reduced and finally the rate of degradation of antibiotics is decreased (San *et al.*, 2002).

3.6. Effect of initial COD

The initial COD is one major factors for determining the reagent dosages for the efficiency of Fenton and photocatalytic processes. For this reason, in this study the effect of COD removal was also studied for both processes. The experiments showed that although AMO degradation was quite efficient, the removal efficiency of COD was lower than AMO. This may be due to the solution of OH• at high Fe²⁺, H_2O_2 and TiO₂ doses and/or formed metabolites.

3.7. Effect of reaction time

For the 60 min reaction time, the degradation of the initial AMO concentration and COD removal with two processes are given in Figure 11(a) and (b). In the Fenton process, the reduction rate of AMO increased in 20 min (82.67%) when AMO and H_2O_2 concentrations were high, which was due to OH• destruction.

On the other hand, the removal efficiency did not significantly increase from 20 to 60 min because of low AMO and H_2O_2 concentrations. COD removal also increased slowly during the 60 min reaction time. Belal *et al.* (2000) reported that the hydrolysis of penicillins increases with time.

According to the photocatalytic results, AMO and COD removal efficiencies slightly went up due to the fact that having higher AMO concentrations can cover the active regions on a TiO, surface. In addition, the formation of OH•



Fig. 9. Effect of AMO concentration on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 process



Fig. 10. Effect of AMO concentration on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal in UV-A/TiO, process

can decrease so the reduction of AMO may be degraded.

 Table 2. Optimum values of AMO for fenton and photocatalytic oxidation processes

1	5	1
Parameter	Fe ²⁺ /H ₂ O ₂	UV-A/TiO ₂
pН	3	7
Fe ²⁺ , mg L ⁻¹	112	-
H_2O_2 , mg L^{-1}	1020	-
TiO ₂ , mg L ⁻¹	-	200
Con., mg L ⁻¹	100	50
Time, min	20	30



in a short reaction time, meaning that Fenton and photocatalytic processes could be used as a preliminary treatment or as an alternative to existing treatment systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Research Fund of Mersin University in Turkey with Project Number: BAP-FBE-CM (GK) 2011 -2 YL.



Fig. 11. Effect of reaction time on (a) AMO and (b) COD removal

Finally, it was observed that the Fenton process may efficiently separate organics of AMO than a photocatalytic process can in a short time.

COD removal is also efficient by Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 . This occurs because some intermediate compounds are resistant to mineralization byproducts. The optimal values of both processes are summarized in Table 2.

4. Conclusions

The study results revealed that optimum values vary with the type of oxidation process employed. The best removal of AMO and COD was 83% and 66%, respectively, in acidic pHs by the Fenton process. The next best was 62% and 52% respectively, in neutral pHs by the photocatalytic process. The efficiency of the Fenton process increases with augmented concentrations of AMO, which depends on H₂O₂ and Fe²⁺ doses for maximum COD removal. Thus, the molar ratio of Fe²⁺ and H₂O₂ is also significant in order to avoid scavenging effects, increase COD removal, reduce final sludge volume, and in terms of overall cost. Therefore, the optimum molar ratio of Fe2+/H2O2 was determined to be 1/15. After the Fenton reaction Fe³⁺ formed could also contribute to COD removal with coagulation and flocculation.

The efficiency of the photocatalytic process increases with rising doses of AMO and TiO_2 . Advantages of using pure TiO_2 are that it is inexpensive, available, non-toxi. and photochemically stable.

The results indicated that initial AMO and COD could be effectively destroyed by both oxidation processes

References

APHA, AWWA, WPCF, (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 21st Edition. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington, DC, USA.

Arslan-Alaton, I. & Dogruel, S. (2004). Pretreatment penicillin formulation of effluent bv advanced oxidation processes. Journal of Hazardous Materials B. 112(1-2): 105-113.

Barbusiński, K. & Filipek, K. (2003). Aerobic sludge digestion in the presence of hydrogen peroxide and Fenton's reagent. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies **12**(1): 35-40.

Belal, F., El-Kerdawy, M.M., El-Ashry, S.M. & El-Wasseef, D.R. (2000). Kinetic spectrophotometric determination of ampicillin and amoxicillin in dosage forms. Il Farmaco 55(11-12): 680-686.

Buxton, G.W., Greenstock, C.L., Helman, W.P. & Ross, A.B. (1988). Critical-review of rate constants for reactions of hydrated electrons, hydrogen-atoms and hydroxyl radicals (•OH/•O-) in aqueous-solution. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data, **17**(2): 513-886.

Dehghani, M., Behzadi, S. & Sekhavatjou, M.S. (2015). Optimizing Fenton process for the removal of

amoxicillin from the aqueous phase using Taguchi method. Desalination and Water Treatment, **57**(14): 6604-6613.

Dimitrakopoulou, D., Rethemiotaki, I., Frontistis, Z., Xekoukoulotakis, N.P., Venieri, D. & Mantzavinos, D. (2012). Degradation, mineralization and antibiotic inactivation of amoxicillin by UV-A/TiO₂ photocatalysis. Journal of Environmental Management, **98**: 168-174.

Elmolla, E.S. & Chaudhuri, M. (2010). Photocatalytic degradation of amoxicillin, ampicillin and cloxacillin antibiotics in aqueous solution using UV/TiO₂ and UV/ H_2O_2/TiO_2 photocatalysis. Desalination, **252**: 46-52.

French, R.A., Jacobson, A.R., Kim, B., Isley, S.L., Penn, L. & Baveye, P.C. (2009). Influence of ionic strength, pH and cation valance on aggregation kinetics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. Environmental Science & Technology, 43(5): 1354-1359.

Hernando, M.D., Mezcua, M., Fernández-Alba, A.R. & Barceló, D. (2006). Environmental risk assessment of pharmaceutical residues in wastewater effluents, surface waters and sediments. Talanta, 69(2): 334-342.

Jeffery, G.H., Bassets, J., Mendham, J. & Deney, R.C., (1989). Vogel's textbook of quantitative chemical analysis, 5th Edition. Longman Scientific and Technical, London UK. Pp.: 384.

Jung, J.Y., Kim, W.G., Yoon, Y., Kang, J.W., Hong, Y.M. & Kim, H.W. (2012). Removal of amoxicillin by UV and UV/H₂O₂ processes. Science of the Total Environment, **420**: 160-167.

Kang, S. & Chang, H. (1997). Coagulation of textile secondary effluents with Fenton's reagent. Water Science and Technology, **36**(12): 215-222.

Kang, Y.W. & Hwang, K.Y. (2000) Effects of reaction conditions on the oxidation efficiency in the Fenton process. Water Research, **34**(10): 2786-2790.

Kavitha, V. & Palanivelu, K. (2004). The role of ferrous ion in Fenton and Photo-Fenton processes for the degradation of phenol. Chemosphere, **55**(9): 1235-1243.

Kummerer, K. (2009). The presence of pharmaceuticals in the environment due to human use - present knowledge and future challenges. Journal of Enviromental Management, **90**(8): 2354-2366.

Kuo, W.G. (1992). Decolorizing dye wastewater with

Fenton's reagent. Water Research, 26(7): 881–886.

Kwon, B.G., Lee, D.S., Kang, N. & Yoon, J. (1999). Characteristics of p-chlorophenol oxidation by Fenton's reagent. Water Research, 33: 2110-2118.

Li, G., Lv, L., Fan, H., Ma, J., Li, Y., Wan, Y. & Zhao, X.S. (2010). Effect of the agglomeration of TiO_2 nanoparticles on their photocatalytic performance in the aqueous phase. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, **348**(2): 342-347.

Li, X., Shen, T., Wang, D., Yue, X., Liu, X., Yang, Q., Cao, J., Zheng, W. & Zeng, G. (2012). Photodegradation of amoxicillin by catalyzed Fe^{3+}/H_2O_2 process. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 24(2): 269-275.

Lin, S.H. & Lo, C.C. (1997). Fenton process for treatment of desizing wastewater. Water Research, **31**(8): 2050-2056.

Lucas, M.S. & Peres, J.A. (2006). Decolorization of the azo dye Reactive Black 5 by Fenton and Photo-Fenton oxidation. Dyes and Pigments, 71: 236-244.

Luo, Y., Guo, W., Ngo, H.H., Nghiem, L.D., Hai, F.I., Zhang, J., Liang, S. & Wang, X.C. (2014). Areview on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and their fate and removal during wastewater treatment. Science of Total Environment, (473-474): 619-641.

Matongo, S., Birungi, G., Moodley, B. & Ndungu, P., (2015). Pharmaceutical residues in water and sediment of Msunduzi River, KwaZulu Natal, South Africa. Chemosphere, **134**: 133-140.

Meeroff, D.E, Bloetscher, F., Reddy, D.V., Gasnier, F., Jain, S., McBarnette, A. & Hamaguchi, H. (2012). Application of photochemical technologies for treatment of landfill leachate. Journal of Hazardous Materials, (209-210): 299-307.

Napoleao, D.C., Pinheiro, R.B., Zaidan, L.E.M.C., Rodriguez-Diaz J.M., Aranjo, Ad.N., Montenegro, Md.C. & Silva, V.Ld. (2015). Validation of chromatographic method for amoxicillin determination in wastewaters after its degradation by advanced oxidation process. Desalination Water Treatment, 57(24):1-7.

Oros-Ruiz, S., Zanella, R., & Prado, B. (2013). Photocatalytic degradation of trimethoprim by metallic nanoparticles supported on TiO_2 -P25. Journal of Hazardous Materials, **263**(1): 28–35. **Pignatello, J.J. (1992).** Dark and photoassisted Fe³⁺-catalyzed degradation of chlorophenoxy herbicides by hydrogen- peroxide. Environmental Science & Technology, 26(5): 944-951.

Rizzo, L., Manaia, C., Merlin, C., Schwartz, T., Dagot, C., Ploy, M.C., Michael, I. & Fatta-Kassinos, D. (2013). Urban wastewater treatment plants as hotspots for antibiotic resistant bacteria and genes spread the environment: А review. Science into of Total Environment, **447**: 345-360.

San, N., Hatipoğlu, A., Koçtürk, G. & Çınar, Z. (2002). Photocatalytic degradation of 4-nitrophenol in aqueous TiO_2 suspensions: Theoretical prediction of the intermediates. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 146(3): 189-197.

Santos, L.V.S, Meireles, A.M. & Lange, L.C. (2015). Degradation of antibiotics norfloxacin by Fenton, UV and UV/ H_2O_2 . Journal of Environmental Management, 154: 8-12.

Savcı, S. (2016). Dubinin–Radushkevich isotherm studies of equilibrium biosorption of some veterinary pharmaceuticals by using live activated sludge. Kuwait Journal of Science, **43**(3): 142-147.

Sheydaei, M., Aber, S. & Khataee, A. (2014). Degradation of amoxicillin in aqueous solution using nanolepidocrocite chips/ H_2O_2/UV : Optimization and kinetics studies. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, **20**(4): 1772–1778.

Tony, M.A., Purcell, P.J., Zhao, Y.Q., Tayeb, A.M., El-Sherbiny, M.F. (2009a). Photo-catalytic degradation of oil-water emulsion using the photo-fenton treatment process: Efects and statistical optimization, Journal Environmental Science Health, Part A **44**(2): 179-187.

A.G., Nogueira. R.F.P, Aguera. Trovó. A., Fernandez-Alba, Malato, A.R. & S. (2011). Degradation of the antibiotic amoxicillin bv Photo-Fenton process-chemical toxicological and Water Research, assessment. **45**(3): 1394-2002.

Turkdogan, F.I. & Yetilmezsoy, K. (2009). Appraisal of potential environmental risks associated with human antibiotic consumption in Turkey. Journal of Hazardous Materials, **166**(1): 297-308.

Walling, C. (1975). Fenton's reagent revisited. Accounts of Chemical Research, 8(4): 125-131.

Wang, F., Halem, D.V., Liu, G., Lekkerkerker-Teunissen, K. & Hoek, J.P. (2017). Effect of residual H_2O_2 from advanced oxidation processes on subsequent biological water treatment: A laboratory batch study. Chemosphere, **185**: 637-646.

World Health Organization (WHO) Regional Office for Europe (2011-2014). Antimicrobial Medicines Consumption (AMC) Network. Pp. : 105.

Submitted	:21/10/2017
Revised	:18/12/2017
Acceptance	:25/12/2018

تحري انتزاع الأموكسيسيلين من محلول مائي بواسطة عمليات فينتون والأكسدة بالتحفيز الضوئي

كامزه كويونجو توركاي، خليل قمبر

قسم الهندسة البيئية، جامعة مرسين، 33343، مرسين، تركيا

الملخص

هدفت هذه الدراسة إلى تحري انتزاع مضاد الالتهاب أموكسيسيلين (AMO) ومطلوبية الأوكسجين الكيميائية (COD) بواسطة عمليات فينتون (/+Fe² مصاد (H₂O₂ والأكسدة بالمحفز الضوئي (UV-A/TiO) في محلول مائي. تمت معاينة التراكيز ومعايير مدة التفاعل في التجارب لكل من الـ pH، مضاد (H₂O₂) والأكسدة بالمحفز الضوئي (.H₂O) في محلول مائي. تمت معاينة التراكيز ومعايير مدة التفاعل في التجارب لكل من الـ pH، مضاد (H₂O) والأكسدة بالمحفز الضوئي (.H₂O) في محلول مائي. تمت معاينة التراكيز ومعايير مدة التفاعل في التجارب لكل من الـ pH، مضاد (H₂O) والأكسدة بالمحفز الضوئي (.H₂O) في محلول مائي. وقد تحددت (لالتهاب، P²⁺, H₂O) و 20% و 83% وي 66% على التوالي. وقد تحددت التنابي التوالي قد تحددت (التهابي التوالي وقد تحددت التولية لـ P²⁺, H₂O) في عملية فينتون (P²⁺, H₂O) و 60% على التوالي. وأسارت (التسبة المولية لـ p²⁺, H₂O) في عملية التحدي (P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالية التوالي وقد تحددت (التسبة المولية لـ P²⁺, H₂O) في عملية التوالي (.P²⁺, H₂O) و 10% و 10% والتوالي وقد تحددت (.P²⁺, H₂O) و 10% والتوالي (.P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالية التوالي وقد التسارية (.P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالي (.P²⁺, H₂O) في عملية التحفيز الضوئي (P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالي . وأسارت (.P²⁺, H₂O) في عملية التحفيز الضوئي (.P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالي . وأسارت (.P²⁺, H₂O) في التوالي . وأسارت (.P²⁺, H₂O) والتوالي . وأسارت (.P²⁺, H₂O) والتولي .