On several types of continuity and irresoluteness in L-topological Spaces

Samer H. Al Ghour

Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan *Corresponding author: algore@just.edu.jo

Abstract

We use the concepts of ω -open *L*-sets, \mathcal{N} -open *L*-sets and \mathcal{D} -open *L*-sets to define several new types of continuity and irresoluteness in *L*-topological spaces. Several results have been proved. In particular, decomposition theorems of the new irresoluteness concepts are introduced.

Keywords: ω -open *L*-sets; \mathcal{N} -open *L*-sets; \mathcal{D} -open *L*-sets; fuzzy continuity; fuzzy irresoluteness.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54A05, 54A40.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Fuzzy set theory still plays a vital role in research in almost all branches of mathematics and computer science (Rameez *et al.*, 2017; Ahmad *et al.*, 2016; Kia *et al.*, 2016; Pant *et al.*, 2015). Introducing new types of continuity or irresoluteness is still a hot area of research in *L*-topological spaces (See Chettri & Chettri, 2017; Vadivel & Swaminathan, 2017; Malathi & Uma, 2017; Swaminathan & Balasubramaniyan, 2016; Deb Ray & Chettri, 2016; Chettri *et al.*, 2014; Kharal & Ahmad, 2013; Shukla, 2012; Tripathy & Ray, 2014; Tripathy & Debnath, 2013; Tripathy & Ray, 2013; Tripathy & Ray, 2012).

Throughout this paper, we follow the notions and terminologies as they appeared in (Al Ghour, 2017).

As a weaker form of openness, the class of ω -open sets for a given topological space was introduced first by Hdeib in (Hdeib, 1982). This class of sets was used to introduce new topological notions in a number of previous works (See Al-Omari & Noorani, 2007; Al-Omari *et al.*, 2009; Hdeib, 1989; Al Ghour, 2006; Al Ghour & Zareer, 2016; Darwesh, 2013; Al-Zoubi, 2003). In particular, ω continuity and ω -irresoluteness were introduced in (Hdeib, 1989) and (Al-Zoubi, 2003), respectively. For the purpose of characterizing compactness, in Al-Omari & Noorani (2009) introduced \mathcal{N} -open sets as a class of sets which lie between open sets and ω -open sets. Via \mathcal{N} -open sets, \mathcal{N} continuity and \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness were introduced in (Al-Omari & Noorani, 2009) and (Al-Mshaqbeh, 2010), respectively.

For the purpose of introducing several types of compactness and Lindelöfness, and opening the door for future research in *L*-topological spaces, Al Ghour in Al Ghour (2017) fuzzified ω -openness and \mathcal{N} -openness by which he also introduced \mathcal{D} -open *L*-sets as a weaker form of \mathcal{N} -open *L*-sets as follows: Let (L^X, δ) be an *L*-ts and let $W, A \in L^X$. W is called an ω -open (resp. \mathcal{N} -open) *L*-set in (L^X, δ) , if for every $x_a \in M(L^X)$ with $x_a \ll W$, there exist $U \in Q(x_a)$ and $G \in X_{coc}$ (resp. $G \in X_{cof}$) such that $x \in G$ and $U \wedge X_G \leq W$. W is called a \mathcal{D} -open *L*-set in (L^X, δ) if

for every $x_a \in M(L^X)$ with $x_a \ll W$, there exists $U \in Q(x_a)$ such that $U \wedge X_{\{x\}} \leq W$. Denote the class of ω -open (resp. an \mathcal{N} -open, a \mathcal{D} -open) *L*-sets in (L^X, δ) by δ_{ω} (resp. $\delta_{\mathcal{N}}, \delta_{\mathcal{D}}$). Author in (Al Ghour, 2017) proved that each of $\delta_{\omega}, \delta_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ forms an *L*-topology on *X* and $\delta \subseteq \delta_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \delta_{\omega} \subseteq \delta_{\mathcal{D}}$ with each of the three inclusions being unreplaceable by equality in general. Also, via ω -open *L*-sets, \mathcal{N} -open *L*-sets and \mathcal{D} -open *L*-sets, he introduced and investigated several new types of compactness and Lindelöfness.

This paper is devoted to using the classes of ω open L-sets, \mathcal{N} -open L-sets and \mathcal{D} -open L-sets to introduce and investigate \mathcal{N} -continuity, ω -continuity, \mathcal{D} -continuity, \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness, ω -irresoluteness, and D-irresoluteness as new six L-topological properties. In Section 2, we characterize all of the new six concepts in terms of continuity. Also, we introduce a decomposition theorem for each of \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness and ω -irresoluteness. Moreover, we give several relationships between the new six concepts and between them and the continuity concept, in particular, we show that \mathcal{D} -continuity and \mathcal{D} irresoluteness concepts are equivalent, and give sufficient conditions related to when two or more of new concepts together with continuity are the coincident to each other. Although we give some sufficient conditions for the validity of the implication " \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness $\Rightarrow \omega$ -irresoluteness", we propose an open question about its validity in general. Moreover, we introduce composition and graph theorems related to the new concepts. In Section 3, we give examples to distinguish between our concepts as well as to highlight the significance of our results.

The following definition and proposition will be used in the sequel:

Definition 1.1. (Al Ghour, 2017) Let (L^X, δ) , (L^Y, μ) be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping.

and

We say $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is a continuous mapping or call f_L^{\rightarrow} continuous for short, if its *L*-reverse mapping $f_L^{\leftarrow}: L^Y \rightarrow L^X$ maps every open *L*-subset in (L^Y, μ) as an open *L*-subset in (L^X, δ) , i.e. for every $V \in \mu$, $f_L^{\leftarrow}(V) \in \delta$. Proposition 1.2. (Liu & Luo, 1997) Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is continuous.

(ii) For any subbase μ_0 of μ , $f_L \leftarrow (V) \in \delta$ ($\forall V \in \mu_0$).

2. Continuity and irresoluteness

Based on Definition 1.1 and by means of ω -open, \mathcal{N} -open, \mathcal{D} -open L-sets in L-ts', we define six types of mappings: Definition 2.1. Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping.

(i) We say $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is an ω -continuous (resp. \mathcal{N} -continuous, \mathcal{D} -continuous) mapping or call $f_L^{\rightarrow} \omega$ continuous (resp. \mathcal{N} -continuous, \mathcal{D} -continuous) for short, if its *L*-reverse mapping $f_L^{\leftarrow}: L^Y \to L^X$ maps every open *L*subset in (L^Y, μ) as an ω -open (resp. \mathcal{N} -open, \mathcal{D} -open) *L*subset in (L^X, δ) .

(ii) We say $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is an ω -irresolute (resp. \mathcal{N} -irresolute, \mathcal{D} -irresolute) mapping or call $f_L^{\rightarrow} \omega$ irresolute (resp. \mathcal{N} -irresolute, \mathcal{D} -irresolute) for short, if its *L*-reverse mapping $f_L^{\leftarrow}: L^Y \rightarrow L^X$ maps every ω -open (resp. \mathcal{N} -open, \mathcal{D} -open) *L*-subset in (L^Y, μ) as an ω -open (resp. \mathcal{N} -open, \mathcal{D} -open) *L*-subset in (L^X, δ) .

We start by showing that \mathcal{D} -continuity and ω -irresoluteness are coincident with each other:

Theorem 2.2. Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{D} -continuous. (ii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\mathcal{D}}) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is continuous. (iii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{D} -irresolute.

Proof. The proofs of (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) are obvious, so we only show (ii) \Rightarrow (iii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) : We apply Proposition 1.2. By Theorem 3.2 (vi) of (Al Ghour, 2017), $\mu \cup \tau^{sdisc}$ is a subbase of $\mu_{\mathcal{D}}$. By (ii), for every $V \in \mu$, $f_L^{\leftarrow}(V) \in \delta_{\mathcal{D}}$, and for every $\mathcal{X}_B \in \tau^{sdisc}$, where $B \subset X, f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_B) = \mathcal{X}_{f^{-1}(B)} \in \tau^{sdisc} \subset \delta_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Theorem 2.2 also characterizes \mathcal{D} -continuity and ω irresoluteness in terms of continuity. As for continuity, Propositions 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5 will characterize ω continuity, \mathcal{N} -continuity, ω -irresoluteness, \mathcal{N} irresoluteness. In addition, Proposition 2.4 (resp. Proposition 2.5) will give a nice decomposition theorem for ω -irresoluteness (resp. \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness).

Proposition 2.3. Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping. Then

(i) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is ω -continuous if and only if $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\omega}) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is continuous.

(ii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{N} -continuous if and only if $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\mathcal{N}}) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is continuous.

Proposition 2.4. Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an *L*-mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is ω -irresolute.

(ii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\omega}) \to (L^Y, \mu_{\omega})$ is continuous. (iii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is ω -continuous,

 $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\omega}) \rightarrow (L^Y, \tau^{scoc})$ is continuous.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is clear.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) By Theorem 3.2 (vi) of (Al Ghour, 2017), $\mu \cup \tau^{scoc}$ is a subbase of μ_{ω} . Applying Proposition 1.2, we get the result.

In view of the above theorem, we state the following result without proof.

Proposition 2.5. Let $(L^X, \delta), (L^Y, \mu)$ be *L*-ts', $f_L^{\rightarrow}: L^X \rightarrow L^Y$ be an L-mapping. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{N} -irresolute.

(ii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\mathcal{N}}) \to (L^Y, \mu_{\mathcal{N}})$ is continuous. (iii) $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{N} -continuous, and $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\mathcal{N}}) \to (L^Y, \tau^{scof})$ is continuous.

Regarding the relationships between our new six concepts and the old continuity concept, the following diagram summarizes the implications that follow directly from the definitions and Theorem 2.2.

\mathcal{N} -irresolute		ω -irresolute	\rightarrow	\mathcal{D} -irresolute
\downarrow		\downarrow		1
N-continuous ↑	\rightarrow	ω -continuous	\rightarrow	D-continuous

continuous

Except the implication ' \mathcal{N} -irresolute $\Rightarrow \omega$ -irresolute', in section 3, we will give counter-examples which distinguish between the concepts in the above diagram.

Recall that a function $f: X \to Y$ is called finite to one (resp. countable to one) if for each $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(\{y\})$ is finite (resp. countable).

In each part of the next result, we give sufficient conditions for which two or more of the concepts in the above diagram are coincident to each other:

Theorem 2.6. Let $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ be an *L*-mapping. Then

- (i) if (L^X, δ) is T_c , then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -continuous are equivalent.
- (ii) if (L^X, δ) is T_c and P-L-ts, then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous, f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -continuous and, f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous are equivalent.
- (iii) if (L^X, δ) is CS, then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω continuous and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{D} -continuous are
 equivalent.
- (iv) if (L^X, δ) is FS, then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{D} continuous, f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{D} continuous are equivalent.
- (v) if f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute such that (L^X, δ) is T_c , then f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous.
- (vi) if f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute such that (L^X, δ) is T_c and P-L-ts, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous.

- (vii) if (L^X, δ) and (L^Y, μ) are T_c , then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute are equivalent.
- (viii) if (L^X, δ) and (L^Y, μ) are T_c and P-L-ts, then the properties f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous, f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute, and ω -irresolute are equivalent.
- (ix) if (L^{Y}, μ) is T_{c} , then the properties f_{L}^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} continuous and f_{L}^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute are
 equivalent.
- (x) if (L^{Y}, μ) is T_{c} and P-L-ts, then the properties f_{L}^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous and f_{L}^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute are equivalent.
- (xi) if $f: X \to Y$ is finite to one, and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} continuous, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute.
- (xii) if $f: X \to Y$ is countable to one, and f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω continuous, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute.

Proof. The proofs of (i), (v), (vii), and (ix) follow directly by Theorem 3.4 of (Al Ghour, 2017).

The proofs of (ii), (vi), (viii), and (x) follow directly by Theorem 3.5 of (Al Ghour, 2017).

- (iii) Follows by Theorem 3.7 (i) of (Al Ghour, 2017).
- (iv) Follows by Theorem 3.7 (ii) of (Al Ghour, 2017).

(xi) By Theorem 4.4 of (Al Ghour, 2017), we only need to show $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow (L^Y, \tau^{scof})$ is continuous. For every $G \in Y_{cof} f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_G) = \mathcal{X}_{f^{-1}(G)}$ and $X - f^{-1}(G) = f^{-1}(Y - G)$ is finite, and thus $f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_G) \in \tau^{scof} \subset \delta_{\mathcal{N}}$. This ends the proof.

(xii) Is similar to that used in (xi).

Question 2.7. Is it true that every \mathcal{N} -irresolute L-mapping is ω -irresolute?

The following result gives three sufficient conditions for an \mathcal{N} -irresolute *L*-mapping to be ω -irresolute.

Theorem 2.8. Let $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ be an \mathcal{N} -irresolute *L*-mapping. Then,

- (i) if $f: X \to Y$ is countable to one, then f_L^{\to} is ω -irresolute.
- (ii) if (L^X, δ_ω) is P-L-ts, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute.
- (iii) if (L^Y, μ) is FS, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute.

Proof. (i) Since f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute, then by the above diagram f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7 (xii), it follows that f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute.

(ii) By Proposition 2.4, we only need to show that $f_L^{\rightarrow}: f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta_{\omega}) \rightarrow (L^Y, \tau^{scoc})$ is continuous. Let $G \in Y_{coc}$. It is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{X}_G = \Lambda\{\mathcal{X}_{Y-\{y\}}: y \in Y - G\}$. Since $\{\mathcal{X}_{Y-\{y\}}: y \in Y - G\} \subset \mu_N$ and f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute, we have $\{f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_{Y-\{y\}}): y \in Y - G\} \subset \delta_N$. Since (L^X, δ_{ω}) is P-*L*-ts and $f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_G) = \Lambda\{f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_{Y-\{y\}}): y \in Y - G\}$, then we have $f_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_G) \in \delta_{\omega}$.

(iii) Let $V \in \mu_{\omega}$. Then by Theorem 3.7 (ii) of (Al Ghour, 2017), $V \in \mu_{\mathcal{N}}$. Thus $f_L^{\leftarrow}(V) \in \delta_{\mathcal{N}} \subset \delta_{\omega}$.

The composition of two \mathcal{N} -continuous *L*-mappings do not need to be even ω -continuous.

Example 2.9. Take $X = \mathbb{R}, Y = \{0,1,2\}, Z = \{a, b\}, L$ any F-lattice, $\delta = \{0_X, 1_X\}, \mu = \{0_Y 1_Y, \mathcal{X}_{\{0\}}, \mathcal{X}_{\{0,1\}}\},$ and $\gamma = \{0_Z 1_Z, \mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}\}.$ Define the function $f: X \to Y$ by

f(x) = 2 if x = 0 and f(x) = 1 otherwise, and define the function $g: Y \to Z$ by g(0) = g(2) = a and g(1) = b. Then $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ and $g_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^Y, \mu) \to (L^Z, \gamma)$ are \mathcal{N} -continuous but $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is not \mathcal{N} -continuous since $(g \circ f)_L^{\leftarrow}(\mathcal{X}_{\{a\}}) = \mathcal{X}_{(g \circ f)^{-1}(\{a\})} = \mathcal{X}_{\{0\}} \notin \delta_{\mathcal{N}}$.

In what follows, we collect composition results related to our new continuity concepts. The results are easy to follow, and their proofs are left to the reader.

Proposition 2.10. Let $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ and $g_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^Y, \mu) \rightarrow (L^Z, \gamma)$ two *L*-mappings.

- (i) If f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous and g_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous, then $(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})_L^{\rightarrow}$ is ω -continuous.
- (ii) If f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -continuous and g_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous, then $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is \mathcal{N} -continuous.
- (iii) If f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute and g_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous, then $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is ω -continuous.
- (iv) If f_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute and g_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} continuous, then $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is \mathcal{N} -continuousIf.
- (v) f_L^{\rightarrow} and g_L^{\rightarrow} are ω -irresolute, then $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is so.
- (vi) If f_L^{\rightarrow} and g_L^{\rightarrow} are \mathcal{N} -irresolute, then $(g \circ f)_L^{\rightarrow}$ is so.
- (vii) If f_L^{\rightarrow} and g_L^{\rightarrow} are \mathcal{D} -irresolute, then $(\mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{f})_L^{\rightarrow}$ is so.

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the next result. It generalizes a result in (Azad, 1981), where the result appears for the case L = I. Its proof can be established using standard techniques, so it is omitted here for brevity.

Lemma 2.11. Let $g: X \to X \times Y$ be the graph of the function $f: X \to Y$, and let *L* be an F-lattice. If $A \in L^X$ and $B \in L^Y$, then $g_L^{\leftarrow}(A \times B) = A \wedge f_L^{\leftarrow}(B)$.

In the following last result $\delta \times \mu$ will denote the product *L*-topology of δ and μ (for the definition of the product *L*-topology, one may refer to (Liu & Luo, 1997).

Theorem 2.12. Let $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ be an *L*-mapping and let $g_L^{\rightarrow}: (X, \delta) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \delta \times \mu)$ be its graph *L*-mapping.

- (i) If g_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous, then so is f_L^{\rightarrow} .
- (ii) If g_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -continuous, then so is f_L^{\rightarrow} .
- (iii) If g_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{D} -continuous, then so is f_L^{\rightarrow} .
- (iv) If g_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -irresolute, then so is f_L^{\rightarrow} .
- (v) If g_L^{\rightarrow} is \mathcal{N} -irresolute, then so is f_L^{\rightarrow} .

Proof. The proofs of all the parts are similar, so we prove only (i).

(i) Let $V \in \mu$. Then $1_X \times V \in \delta \times \mu$. Since g_L^{\rightarrow} is ω continuous, then $g_L^{\leftarrow}(1_X \times V) \in \delta_{\omega}$. By Lemma 2.12, we
have $g_L^{\leftarrow}(1_X \times V) = 1_X \wedge f_L^{\leftarrow}(V) = f_L^{\leftarrow}(V)$. Therefore, $f_L^{\leftarrow}(V) \in \delta_{\omega}$. It follows that f_L^{\rightarrow} is ω -continuous.

3. Examples

The following is an example of \mathcal{N} -continuous function that is not continuous. For the symbols that are not defined in Example 3.1, we refer to (Liu & Luo, 1997).

Example 3.1. Let *L* be any F-lattice which has a subset $\{a, b, c\}$ satisfying 0 < a < b < c < 1, a' = c and b' = b (in particular L = I). Let X = Y = I[L]. Denote the *L*-

fuzzy unit interval on X by δ . Consider the mappings $x: \mathbb{R} \to L$

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & if \ t \in (-\infty, 0), \\ a & if \ t \in [0, 1], \\ 0 & if \ t \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

It is proved in (Al Ghour, 2017) that $\mathcal{X}_{I[L]-\{[x]\}} \notin \delta$. Let μ be the *L*-topology on *Y* generated by $\delta \cup \{\mathcal{X}_{I[L]-\{[x]\}}\}$ as a subbase. Define $f: X \to Y$ by f(x) = x. Then $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is \mathcal{N} -continuous but not continuous.

The following is an example of an ω -irresolute function that is not \mathcal{N} -continuous. It shows also that ω irresoluteness does not imply \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness, in general. Example 3.2. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}, L = I, \delta = \{0_X\} \cup \{A: A(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}$ and μ be the *L*-topology on *Y* generated by $\{A: 0 < A(y) \le 0.5 \text{ for all } y \in Y\} \cup \{0_Y, 1_Y, \mathcal{X}_{\{Y-\{0\}}\}\)$ as a subbase. Define $f: X \to Y$ by f(x) = sin x. Then $f_L \xrightarrow{\sim} : (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is ω -irresolute but not \mathcal{N} -continuous.

 \mathcal{D} -continuity does not imply ω -continuity in general as it can be seen from the following example:

Example 3.3. Let $X = Y = (0,1], L = I, \delta$ be the *L*-topology on *X* generated by $\{aX_{(x,1]} : x \in X, a \in L\}$ as a base and μ be the *L*-topology on *Y* generated by $\{aX_{(x,1]} : x \in X, a \in L\} \cup \{(0.5)X_{\{0.3\}}\}$ as a subbase. Define $f: X \to Y$ by f(x) = x. Then $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu)$ is *D*-continuous but not ω -continuous.

The following is an example of a continuous function that is neither ω -irresolute nor \mathcal{N} -irresolute. In particular, it shows that ω -continuity does not imply ω -irresoluteness, and also \mathcal{N} -continuity does not imply \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness, in general.

Example 3.4. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{R}, L = I, \delta = \{0_x\} \cup$ all $x \in X$, and $\mu = \{A: 0 < A(y) \le$ ${A:A(x) > 0 \text{ for}}$ all $y \in Y$ \cup {0_{*Y*}, 1_{*Y*}}. Define $f: X \to Y$ 0.5 for by f(x) = 1 for $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ and f(x) = 2 for $x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$. Then $f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \rightarrow (L^Y, \mu)$ is continuous but neither ω irresolute nor \mathcal{N} -irresolute. To see that f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous, let $B \in \mu - \{0_y\}$. Then for all $y \in Y$, $0 < B(y) \le 0.5$. So for all $x \in X$, we have $(f_L \leftarrow (B))(x) = B(f(x)) > 0$. Hence f_L^{\rightarrow} is continuous. Now, since $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}-\{2\}} \in \mu_{\mathcal{N}} =$ $\mu_{\mathcal{N}} \cap \mu_{\omega}$ but $f_{L} \stackrel{\leftarrow}{} (\chi_{\mathbb{R}-\{2\}}) = \chi_{f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}-\{2\})} = \chi_{\mathbb{Q}} \notin \delta_{\omega} =$ $\delta_{\omega} \cup \delta_{\mathcal{N}}$, then f_L^{\rightarrow} is neither ω -irresolute nor \mathcal{N} irresolute.

 ω -irresoluteness and \mathcal{N} -irresoluteness are not sufficient conditions to imply continuity as the following example clarifies:

Example 3.5. Let X = Y = [0,1], L = I

 $\delta = \{0_X, 1_X\} \cup \{A: x^4 < A(x) \le x \text{ for all } x \in X\} \text{ and } \mu \text{ be the } L\text{-topology on } Y \text{ generated by } \{0_Y, 1_Y\} \cup \{A: y^2 < A(y) \le \sqrt{y} \text{ for all } y \in Y\} \cup \{\mathcal{X}_{[0,1)}\} \text{ as a subbase. Define } f: X \to Y \text{ by } f(x) = x^2. \text{ Then } f_L^{\rightarrow}: (L^X, \delta) \to (L^Y, \mu) \text{ is } \omega\text{-irresolute and } \mathcal{N}\text{-irresolute but not continuous}$

Example 3.5 is a particular example of an \mathcal{N} -continuous function that is not continuous.

References

Ahmad, A., Qadri, M.Y. & Qadri, N.N. (2016). Fuzzy logic based adaptive MPSoC for balanced energy and throughput. Kuwait Journal of Science, **43**(3):91-100.

Al Ghour, S. (2006). Some generalizations of paracompactness. Missouri Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **18**(1): 64-77. doi: 10.1155/2007/1629.

Al Ghour, S. & Zareer, W. (2016). Omega open sets in generalized topological spaces. Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, 9(5):3010-3017.

Al Ghour, S. (2017). Three new weaker notions of fuzzy open sets and related covering concepts. Kuwait Journal of Science, 44(1):48-57.

Al-Mshaqbeh, S. (2010). F-open sets with some related topological concepts. Master's Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Al al-Bayt University, Irbid, Jordan.

Al-Omari, A. & Noorani, M.S.M. (2007). Contra- ω continuous and almost Contra- ω -continuous. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 2007: Article ID 40469. doi: 10.1155/2007/40469.

Al-Omari, A., Noiri, T. & Noorani, M.S.M. (2009). Weak and strong forms of ω -continuous functions. International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Volume 2009: Article ID 174042. doi: 10.1155/2009/174042.

Al-Omari, A. & Noorani, M.S.M. (2009). New topology and characterization of compact spaces. Proceedings of the 5th Asian Mathematical Conference. The Putra World Trade Center, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Al-Zoubi, K. (2003). Semi ω -continuous functions. Abhath Al-Yarmouk, **12**(1):119-131.

Azad, K.K. (1981). On fuzzy semi continuity, fuzzy almost continuity, and fuzzy weakly continuity. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **82**:14-32.

Chettri, P., Gurung, S., & Halder, S. (2014). On ps-ro semiopen fuzzy set and ps-ro fuzzy semicontinuous, semiopen functions. Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, **7**(1):87-97.

Chettri, P. & Chettri, A. (2017). Ps-ro fuzzy strongly α -irresolute function. Acta Universitatis Sapientiae, Mathematica, **9**:260-268. doi: 10.1515/ausm-2017-0018.

Darwesh, H.M. (2013). Between preopen and open sets in topological spaces. Thai Journal of Mathematics, **11**(1):143—155.

Deb Ray, A. & Chettri, P. (2016). Further on fuzzy pseudo near compactness and psro fuzzy continuous functions. Theory and Applications of Mathematics and Computer Science, 6(2):96-102.

Dutta, A. & Tripathy, B.C. (2017). On fuzzy $b-\theta$ open sets in fuzzy topological space. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, **32**(1):137-139. doi: 10.3233/JIFS-151233. **Hdeib, H.Z. (1982).** ω -closed mappings. Revista Colombiana de Mathematicas, **16**(1-2):65-78.

Hdeib, H.Z. (1989). ω -continuous functions. Dirasat Journal, 16:136-142.

Kharal, A. & Ahmad, B. (2013). Fuzzy α-continuous mappings. Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, **21**:831-840.

Kia, O.Y., Eslami, E. & Saeid, A.B. (2016). K-modal BL-algebras, Kuwait Journal of Science, 43(1):39-60.

Liu, Y.M. & Luo M.K. (1997). Fuzzy Topology. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore. Pp 353.

Malathi, R. & Uma, M.K. (2017). Fuzzy orbit* continuous mappings. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, 13(4):465-474.

Pant, B.D., Chauhan, S., Cho, Y.J. & Eshaghi-Gordji, M. (2015). Fixed points of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces. Kuwait Journal of Science, 42(2):107-127.

Rameez, M., Ali, M.I, & Ejaz, A. (2017). Generalized roughness in $(\in, \in \lor q)$ -fuzzy ideals of hemirings. Kuwait Journal of Science, **44**(3):34-43.

Shukla, M. (2012). On fuzzy strongly α -irresolute mapping. International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems, 2(2):141-147.

Swaminathan, A. & Balasubramaniyan, K. (2016). Somewhat fuzzy δ -irresolute continuous mappings. Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, **12**(1):121-128.

Tripathy, B.C. & Ray, G.C. (2012). On Mixed fuzzy topological spaces and countability. Soft Computing, **16**(10):1691-1695. doi: 10.1007/s00500-012-0853-1.

Tripathy, B.C. & Debnath, S. (2013). γ -open sets and γ -continuous mappings in fuzzy bitopological spaces, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, **24**(3):631-635. doi: 10.3233/IFS-2012-0582.

Tripathy, B.C. & Ray, G.C. (2013). Mixed fuzzy ideal topological spaces. Applied Mathematics and Computations, 220:602-607. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2013.05.072.

Tripathy, B.C. & Ray, G.C. (2014). On δ -continuity in mixed fuzzy topological spaces, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matematica, **32**(2):175-187. doi: 10.5269/bspm.v32i2.20254.

Vadivel, A. & Swaminathan, A. (2017). Totally somewhat fuzzy continuous and totally somewhat fuzzy semicontinuous mappings, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 15(1):107-119.

Submitted	:	28/08/2017
Revised	:	02/11/2017
Accepted	:	28/11/2017

عن أنواع متعددة للاتصال وانعدام العزم في فضاءات L الطوبولوجية

سامر حامد القور

قسم الرياضيات والإحصاء، جامعة العلوم والتكنولوجيا الأردنية، اربد، الأردن algore@just.edu.jo

الملخص

نستخدم المفاهيم open L-sets و open L-sets و \mathcal{N} -open L-sets و D -open L-sets الأنواع الجديدة للاتصال وانعدام العزم في فضاءات L الطوبولوجية. وتم برهنة عدد من النتائج. على وجه الخصوص تم استحداث نظريات التفكيك لمفاهيم انعدام العزم الجديدة.