## NSE characterization of projective special linear group $L_3(7)$

#### SHITIAN LIU\*

\*School of Science, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong Sichuan, 643000, P. R. China

#### **ABSTRACT**

Let G be a group and  $\omega(G)$  be the set of element orders of G. Let  $k \in \omega(G)$  and  $s_k$  be the number of elements of order k in G. Let nse  $(G) = \{s_k | k \in \omega(G)\}$ . In Khatami et al and Liu's works, the groups  $L_3(2)$ ,  $L_3(4)$  and  $L_3(5)$  are unique determined by nse (G). In this paper, we prove that if G is a group such that nse  $(G) = nse(L_3(7))$ , then  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

**Keywords:** Element order; projective special linear group; Thompson's problem; number of elements of the same order; simple group.

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1987, J. G. Thompson posed a very interesting problem related to algebraic number fields as follows (Shi, 1989).

**Thompson's Problem.** Let  $T(G) = \{(n, s_n) | n \in \omega(G) \text{ and } s_n \in nse(G)\}$ , where  $s_n$  is the number of elements with order n. Suppose that T(G) = T(H). If G is a finite solvable group, is it true that H is also necessarily solvable?

A finite group G is called a simple  $K_n$  -group, if G is a simple group with  $|\pi(G)| = n$ .

It was proved that: Let G be a group and M some simple  $K_i$ -group, i = 3, 4, then  $G \cong M$  if and only if | = |M| and use (G) = nse(M) (Shao *et al.*, 2009; Shao *et al.*, 2008). And also the group  $A_{12}$  is characterizable by order and use (Liu & Zhang, 2012). Recently, all sporadic simple groups have been proved to be characterizable by use and order (Asboei *et al.*, 2013).

Comparing the sizes of elements of same order but disregarding the actual orders of elements in T(G) of the Thompson's Problem, in other words, it remains only use (G), whether can it characterize finite simple groups? Up to now, some groups especial for  $L_2(q)$ , where  $q \in \{7, 8, 9, 11, 13\}$ , can be characterized by only the set use (G) (Khatami *et al.*, 2011; Shen *et al.*, 2010). The author has proved that the groups  $L_3(4)$  and  $L_3(5)$  are characterizable by use (Liu 2013). In this paper, it is shown that the group  $L_3(7)$  also can be characterized by use.

Here we introduce some notations which will be used. Let a.b denote the product of an integer a by an integer b. If n is an integer, then we denote by  $\pi(n)$  the set of all prime divisors of n. Let G be a group. The set of element orders of G is denoted by  $\omega(G)$ . Let  $k \in \omega(G)$  and  $s_k$  be the number of elements of order k in G. Let nse G =  $\{s_k | k \in \omega(G)\}$ . Let  $\pi(G)$  denote the set of prime p such that G contains an element of order p.  $L_n(q)$  denotes the projective special linear group of degree n over finite fields of order q.  $U_n(q)$  denotes the projective special unitary group of degree n over finite fields of order q. The other notations are standard (Conway et al., 1985).

#### **SOME LEMMAS**

**Lemma 1.** (Frobenius, 1895) Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing |G|. If  $L_m(G) = \{g \in G | g^m = 1\}$ , then  $m||L_m(G)|$ .

**Lemma 2.** (Miller, 1904) Let G be a finite group and  $p \in \pi(G)$  be odd. Suppose that P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G and  $n = p^s m$  with (p, m) = 1. If P is not cyclic and s > 1, then the number of elements of order n is always a multiple of  $p^s$ .

**Lemma 3.** (Shen *et al.*, 2010) Let G be a group containing more than two elements. If the maximal number s of elements of the same order in G is finite, then G is finite and  $|G| < s(s^2 - 1)$ .

**Lemma 4.** (Hall, 1959) Let G be a finite solvable group and |G| = mn, where  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_r^{\alpha_r}, (m,n) = 1$ . Let  $\pi = \{p_1, \cdots, p_r\}$  and  $h_m$  be the number of Hall  $\pi$ -subgroups of G. Then  $h_m = q_1^{\beta_1} \cdots q_s^{\beta_s}$  satisfies the following conditions for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \cdots, s\}$ :

- (1)  $q_i^{\beta_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$  for some  $p_j$ .
- (2) The order of some chief factor of G is divided by  $q_i^{\beta_i}$ .

**Lemma 5.** (Shao & Jiang, 2010) Let G be a finite group,  $P \in_p^{Syl}(G)$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$ . Suppose that G has a normal series  $K \triangleleft L \triangleleft G$  and p||K|, then the following statements hold:

- $(1) \quad N_{G/K}(PK/K) = N_G(P)K/K^{-1}$
- (2) If  $P \le L$ , then  $|G: N_G(P)| = |L: N_L(P)|$ , namely,  $n_n(G) = n_n(L)$
- (3) If  $P \le L$ , then  $|L/K: N_{L/K}(PK/K)|t = |G:N_G(P)| = |L:N_L(P)|$ , namely,  $n_p(L/K)t = n_p(G) = n_p(L)$ , for some integer t. In particular,  $|N_K(P)|t = |K|$

To prove  $G \cong L_3(7)$ , we need the structure of simple  $K_4$ -groups.

**Lemma 6.** (Shi, 1991) Let G be a simple  $K_4$ -group. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

- (1)  $A_7, A_8, A_9$  or  $A_{10}$ .
- (2)  $M_{11}$ ,  $M_{12}$  or  $J_2$ .
- (3) One of the following:
  - (a)  $L_2(r)$ , where r is a prime and  $r^2 1 = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot v^c$  with  $a \ge 1, b \ge 1, c \ge 1$ , and v is a prime greater than 3.
  - (b)  $L_2(2^m)$ , where  $2^m 1 = u, 2^m + 1 = 3t^b$  with  $m \ge 2, u, t$  are primes,  $t > 3, b \ge 1$ .
  - (c)  $L_2(3^m)$ , where  $3^m + 1 = 4t, 3^{m-1} = 2u^c$  or  $3^m + 1 = 4t^b, 3^{m-1} = 2u$ , with  $m \ge 2, u, t$  are odd primes,  $b \ge 1, c \ge 1$ .
- (4) One of the following 28 simple groups:  $L_2(16), L_2(25), L_2(49), L_2(81), L_3(4), L_3(5), L_3(7), L_3(8), L_3(17), L_4(3), L_4(4), S_4(5), S_4(7), S_4(9), S_6(2), O_8^+(2), G_2(3), U_3(4), U_3(5), U_3(7), U_3(8), U_3(9), U_4(3), U_5(2), S_2(8), S_2(32), D_4(2) \text{ or } 2F_4(2)'.$

**Lemma 7.** Let G be a simple  $K_4$ -group and  $\{19\} \subseteq \pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 7, 19\}$ . Then  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 6(1)(2), order consideration rules out this case.

So we consider Lemma 6(3). We will deal with this with the following cases.

Case 1.  $G \cong L_2(r)$ , where  $r \in \{3, 7, 19\}$ .

Let r = 3. Then  $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 1$ , which contradicts  $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 3$ .

Let r = 7, then  $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 2$ , which contradicts  $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 3$ .

Let r = 19, then  $|\pi(r^2 - 1)| = 3$ . Hence  $G \cong L_2(19)$ , but 5 | |G|, a contradiction.

Case 2.  $G \cong L_2(2^m)$ , where  $u \in \{3, 7, 19\}$ .

Let u = 3, then m = 2 and so  $5 = 3t^b$ . But the equation has no solution in N, a contradiction.

Let u = 7, then m = 3, and  $2^3 + 1 = 3t^b$ . Thus t = 3 and b = 1. But t > 3, a contradiction.

Let u = 19, then  $2^m - 1 = 19$ . But the equation has no solution in N.

Case 3.  $G \cong L_2(3^m)$ .

We will consider this case by the following two cases.

Subcase 3.1.  $3^m + 1 = 4t$  and  $3^m - 1 = 2u^c$ .

We can suppose that  $t \in \{3, 7, 19\}$ .

Let t = 3, 19, the equation  $3^m + 1 = 4t$  has no solution. So we rule out the case.

Let t = 7, then m = 3 and so  $3^3 - 1 = 2.11$ , which means  $11 \mid |G|$ , a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2. 
$$3^m + 1 = 4t^b 3^m + 1 = 4t^b$$
 and  $3^m - 1 = 2u$ .

We can suppose that  $u \in \{3, 7, 19\}$ .

Let u = 3, 7, 19, then the equation  $3^m - 1 = 2u$  has no solution in N, a contradiction.

In review of Lemma 6(4), order consideration,  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

#### MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Let G be a group such that use  $(G) = \text{nse } (L_3(7))$ , and  $s_n$  be the number of elements of order n By Lemma 3, we have that G is finite. We note that  $s_n = k\varphi(n)$ , where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n. Also we note that if n > 2, then  $\varphi(n)$  is even. If  $m \in \omega(G)$ , then by Lemma 1 and the above discussion, we have

$$\varphi(m) \mid s_m$$

$$m \mid \sum_{d \mid m} s_d \tag{1}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let G be a group with nse (G) = nse  $L_3(7)$ ) = 1,2793, 52136,117306, 117648, 134064, 156408, 234612, 469224, 59270, where  $L_3(7)$  is the projective special linear group of degree 3 over finite field of order 7. Then  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by first proving that  $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 7, 19\}$ , secondly showing that  $|G| = |L_3(7)|$ , and finally conclude that  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

By (1),  $\pi(G)\{2, 3, 5, 7, 17, 19, 117307, 234613\}$  If m > 2, then  $\varphi(m)$  is even, then  $s_2 = 2793, 2 \in \pi(G)$ .

In the following, we prove that  $17 \notin \pi(G)$ . If  $17 \in \pi(G)$ , then by  $(1), s_{17} = 592704$ . If  $2.17 \in \omega(G)$ , then by Lemma 1,  $2.17 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{17} + s_{2.17}^* s_{2.17} \notin nse(G)$ . Therefore  $2.17 \notin \omega(G)$ . It follows that the Sylow 17-subgroup  $P_{17}$  of G acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2 and  $|P_{17}| \mid s_2$ , a contradiction. Similarly by (1), we can prove that the primes  $117307, 234613 \notin \pi(G)$ .

Hence we have  $\pi(G)\{2,3,5,7,19\}$ . Furthermore, by (1)  $s_3 = 52136, s_5 = 134064, 469224$  or  $592704, s_7 = 117648$  and  $s_{19} = 592704$ .

If  $2^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $\varphi(2^a) = 2^{a-1} \mid s_{2^a}$  and so  $0 \le a \le 7$ .

By Lemma 1,  $|P_2| | 1 + s_2 + s_{22} + ... + s_{27}$  and so  $|P_2| | 2^7$ .

If  $3^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 4$ .

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_3| | 1 + s_3$  and  $|P_3| | 3^3$ .

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^2$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_3| | 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2}$  and  $|P_3| | 3^4$  (when  $s_9 = 592704$ ).

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^3$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_3| | 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3}$  and  $|P_3| | 3^6$  (when  $s_9 = 117306$ ,  $s_{27} = 134064$ ).

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^4$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_3| | 1 + s_3 + s_{3^2} + s_{3^3} + s_{3^4}$  and  $|P_3| 3 \wedge 4$  (when  $s_9 = 117648$ ,  $s_{27} = 469224$  and  $s_{81} = 592704$ ).

Therefore  $|P_3| \mid 3^6$ .

If  $2^2.3 \in \omega(G)$ , then by (Shao & Jiang, 2014),  $s_{2^2.3} = 2.s_3.t$  for some integer t. But the equation has no solution since  $s_{2^2.3} \in \text{nse }(G)$ . Therefore  $2^2.3 \notin \omega(G)$ . Similarly  $2.3^3 \notin \omega(G)$ .

If  $5^a \in \omega(G)$ , then a = 1.

If  $2.5 \in \omega(G)$ , then by (Shao & Jiang, 2014),  $s_{2.5} = s_5.t$  for some integer t and so  $s_{2.5} = s_5$ . But by Lemma 1,  $2.5 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_5 + s_{2.5}$  (270922, 941242, 1188202), a contradiction. Therefore  $2.5 \notin \omega(G)$ . Similarly  $3.5 \notin \omega(G)$ .

If  $7^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 4$ .

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7$  and  $|P_7| | 7^6$ .

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^2$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2}$  and  $|P_7| | 7^3$  (when  $s_{7^2}\{117306, 156408, 234612, 469224, 592704\}$ ).

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^3$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2} + s_{7^3}$  and  $|P_7| | 7^3$  (when  $s_{7^2} \in \{ 117306, 156408, 234612, 469224592704 \}$ ).

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^4$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7 + s_{7^2} + s_{7^3} + s_{7^4}$  and  $|P_7| | 7^4$ .

Therefore  $|P_7| | 7^6$ .

If  $3.7 \in \omega(G)$ , then by (Shao & Jiang 2014),  $s_{3.7} = 2.s_7.t$  for some integer t. But the equation has no solution since  $s_{3.7} \in nse(G)$ . Therefore  $3.7 \notin \omega(G)$ . Similarly  $4.7 \notin \omega(G)$ .

If  $19^a \in \omega(G)$ , then  $1 \le a \le 2$ . Since  $s_{19^2} \notin nse(G)$ , then a = 1. By Lemma 1,  $|P_{19}| \mid 1 + s_{19}$  and  $|P_{19}| \mid 19$ .

If  $2.19 \in \omega(G)$ , then by (Shao & Jiang 2014),  $s_{2.19} = s_{19}$ . By Lemma 1,  $2.19 \mid 1 + s_2 + s_{19} + s_{2.19}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $2.19 \notin \omega(G)$ . Similarly  $3.19, 5.19, 7.19 \notin \omega(G)$ .

To remove the prime 5, we assume that  $7 \in \pi(G)$ .

If 3, 5, 19  $\notin \pi(G)$ . Then G is a 2-group. Since  $\omega(G) = 8$  and there are exactly ten numbers in nse  $(L_3(7))$  and consequently, we have a contradiction.

Let  $19 \in \pi(G)$ . Then since  $|P_{19}| = 19$ ,  $n_{19} = s_{19}/\varphi(19) = 2^5.3.7^3$  and  $7 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

Let  $5 \in \pi(G)$ . We know that  $s_5 = 134064, 469224, 592704$ .

Let  $s_5 = 134064$ . Then by Lemma 1,  $|P_5| | 1 + s_5$  and  $|P_5| = 5$  Since  $n_5 = s_5/\varphi(5) = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19$ , a contradiction.

Let  $s_5 = 469224$ . Then  $|P_5| = 5^2$ .

If  $|P_5| = 5$ , then  $n_5 = 2.3^2.7^3.19$ ,  $7, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_5| = 5^2$ , then we can assume that  $\{2,3,5\} \subseteq \pi(G)$ . Therefore  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^b.5^2$  where  $k_1, k_2$ ,...,  $k_8a, b$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^8 k_i \le 2$ , then the equation has no solution in N.

Let  $s_5 = 592704$ . Then  $|P_5| = 5$ . Since  $n_5 = s_5/\varphi(5) = 2^4.3^3.7^3, 7 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

Let  $3 \in \pi(G)$ .

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3$ . Then  $|P_3| | 3^3$ .

If  $|P_3| = 3$ , then since  $n_3 = s_3/\varphi(3) = 2^2.7^3.19, 7, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_3| = 3^2$ , then  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = 2^a.3^2$  where  $k_1 k_2, ..., k_8, a$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^8 k_i \le 0$ , then the equation has no solution in N.

Similarly we can rule out the other case  $|P_3| = 3^3$ .

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^2$ . Then  $|P_3| | 3^4$  (when  $s_9 = 592704$ ).

f  $|P_3| = 3^2$ , then 7 or  $19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_3| = 3^3$ , then  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^3$ , where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{8} k_i \le 1$ , then the equation has no solution in N.

Similarly we can rule out the case  $|P_3| = 3^4$ .

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^3$ . Then  $|P_3| | 3^6$  (when  $s_9 = 117306, s_{27} = 134064$ ).

If  $|P_3| = 3^3$ . Then since  $n_3 = s_{3^3}/\varphi(3^3)$ , 7 or  $19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_3| > 3^3$ , we also can rule out.

Let exp  $(P_3) = 3^4$ .

If  $|P_3| = 3^4$ , then since  $s_{81} = 592704$ ,  $n_3 = s_{3^4}/\varphi(3^4) = 2^5.7^3, 7 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

If  $|P_3| > 3^4$ , then by Lemma 2,  $s_{3^4} = 3^4 \cdot t$  for some integer t. But the equation has no solution since  $s_{3^4} \in nse(G)$ 

Therefore  $7 \in \pi(G)$ .

If  $5.7 \in \omega(G)$ , then by Lemma 1,  $5.7 \mid 1 + s_5 + s_7 + s_{5.7}$ . But  $s_{5.7} \notin nse(G)$ . So  $5.7 \notin \omega(G)$ . It follows that the Sylow 5-subgroup of G acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 7 and  $|P_5| \mid s_7$ , a contradiction. So  $5 \notin \pi(G)$ .

If  $19 \in \pi(G)$ , then since  $n_{19} = s_{19}/\varphi(19) = 2^5.3.7^3, 3, 7 \in \pi(G)$ , then we only have to consider two proper sets  $\{2,7\}, \{2,3,7\}$  and finally the whole set  $\{2,3,7,19\}$ .

Case a.  $\pi(G) = \{2, 7\}.$ 

We know that  $\exp(P_7) = 7, 7^2, 7^3, 7^4$ .

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7$ . Then  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7$  and so  $|P_7| | 7^6$ .

Let  $|P_7| = 7$ . Then since  $n_7 = s_7/\varphi(7) = 117648/6 = 2^3.3.19.43, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

Let  $|P_7| = 7^2$ . Then  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a$ .49, where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{8} k_k \le 0$ . So the equation has no solution in N.

If  $|P_7| > 7^2$ , similarly we can rule out as the case " $\exp(P_7) = 7$  and  $|P_7| = 7^2$ ".

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^2$ . Then  $|P_7| | 7^3$ .

Let  $|P_7| = 7^2$ . Then  $s_{7^2} \in \{117306, 134064, 156408, 234612, 469224, 592704\}$ . Since  $n_7 = s_{7^2}/\varphi(7^2)$ , 3 or  $19 \in \pi(G)$ .

If  $|P_7| = 7^3$  Then  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.7^3$ 

where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a$ , are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{8} k_i \le 1$ . So the equation has no solution in N.

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^3$ . Then  $|P_7| = 7^3$ . Since  $s_{7^3} \in \{117306, 134064, 156408, 234612, 469224, 592704\}$  and  $n_7 = s_{7^3}/\varphi(7^3)$ , 3 or  $19 \in \pi(G)$ .

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^4$ . Then  $|P_7| = 7^4$ . Since  $s_{74} \in \{117306, 156408, 234612, 469224, 592704\}$  and  $n_7 = s_{7^3}/\varphi(7^3)$ , 3 or  $19 \in \pi(G)$ .

Case b.  $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7\}.$ 

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7$ . Then  $|P_7| | 1 + s_7$  and so  $|P_7| | 7^6$ .

Let  $|P_7| = 7$ . Then since  $n_7 = s_7/\varphi(7) = 117648/6 = 2^3.3.19.43, 19 \in \pi(G)$ , a contradiction.

Let  $|P_7| = 7^2$  Then  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^b.49$  where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a, b$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^8 k_i \le 5$ . Since  $1876896 \le |G| = 2^a.3^b.7^2 \le 1876896 + 5.592704$ , the equation has no solution in N.

If  $|P_7| > 7^2$ , similarly we can rule out as above.

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^2$ . Then  $|P_7| | 7^3$  and  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^b.49$  where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a, b$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{8} k_i \le 6$ . Since  $1876896 \le |G| = 2^a.3^b.7^2 \le 1876896 + 6.592704$  It follows that the number of Sylow 2-subgroups of G is 1, 3, 7, 9, 15, 21, 27, 49, 63, 81, 147, 189, 243, 441, 189, 243, 441, 567, 729, 1323, 1701, 3969, 5103, 11907, 35721 and so the number of elements of order 2 is 1, 3, 7, 9, 15, 21, 27, 49, 63, 81, 147, 189, 243, 441, 189, 243, 441, 567, 729, 1323, 1701, 3969, 5103, 11907, 35721, but none of which belongs to nse (G).

Let exp  $(P_7) = 7^3$  Then  $|P_7| | 7^3$  and  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^b.49$  where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a, b$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{8} k_i \le 8$ . We can rule out this case as "exp $(P_7) = 7^2$ ".

Let  $\exp(P_7) = 7^4$  Then  $|P_7| | 7^4$  and  $1876896 + 52136k_1 + 117306k_2 + 117648k_3 + 134064k_4 + 156408k_5 + 234612k_6 + 469224k_7 + 592704k_8 = <math>2^a.3^b.7^4$  where  $k_1, k_2, ..., k_8, a, b$  are non-negative integers and  $0 \le \sum_{k=0}^8 k_i \le 9$ . We can rule out this case as " $\exp(P_7) = 7^2$ ".

Case c. 
$$\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7, 19\}.$$

In the following, we first show that  $|G| = 2^5.3^2.7^3.19$ ,  $or|G| = 2^6.3^2.7^3.19$ , secondly prove that  $G \cong L_3(7)$ .

Step 1. 
$$|G| = 2^5.3^2.7^3.19$$
,  $or|G| = 2^6.3^2.7^3.19$ 

From the above arguments, we have that  $|P_{19}| = 19$ .

We know 7.19  $\notin \omega(G)$ . It follows that the Sylow 7-subgroup of G acts fixed freely on the set of elements of order 19 and so  $|P_7| \mid s_{19}$ . Therefore  $|P_7| \mid 7^3$ . Similarly 2.19  $\notin \omega(G)$  and  $|P_2| \mid 2^6$ ;3.7  $\notin \omega(G)$  and  $|P_3| \mid 3^2$ .

Therefore we have  $|G| = 2^m.3^n.7^p.19$ . But  $1876896 = 2^5.3^2.7^3.19 \le 2^m.3^n.7^p.19$ . Therefore  $|G| = 2^5.3^2.7^3.19$ ,  $|G| = 2^5.3^3.7^3.19$ ,  $|G| = 2^6.3^3.7^3.19$ .

Step 2. 
$$G \cong L_3(7)$$

First show that there is no group such that  $|G| = 2^6.3^2.7^3.19$ , and  $nse(G) = nse(L_3(7))$ . Then get the result by (Shao *et al.*, 2008).

Let 
$$|G| = 2^6.3^2.7^3.19$$
 and use  $(G) = nse(L_3(7))$ .

Let G be soluble. Since  $s_{19} = 592704$ , then  $n_{19} = s_{19}/\varphi(19) = 2^5.3.7^3$ . By Lemma 4,  $3 \equiv 1 \pmod{19}$ , a contradiction. So G is insoluble.

Therefore we can suppose that G has a normal series  $1 \le K \le L \le G$  such that L/K is isomorphic to a simple  $K_i$ -group with i=3,4 as  $19^2 \nmid |G|$ .

If L/K is isomorphic to a simple  $K_3$  -group, then from (Herzog, 1968),  $L/K \cong L_2(7), L_2(8)$ .

From (Conway *et al.*, 1985),  $n_7(L/K) = n_7(L_2(7)) = 8$ , and so  $n_7(G) = 8t$  for some integer t and  $7 \times t$ . Hence the number of elements of order 7 in  $G^{is:}s_7 = 8t.6 = 48t$ . Since  $s_7 \in \text{nse}(G)$ , then  $s_7 = 117648$  and so t = 2451. Therefore  $3.19.43 \mid |K| \mid 2^2.3.7^2.19$ , which is a contradiction. For  $L_2(8)$ , similarly we can rule out.

If L/K is isomorphic to a  $K_4$ -group, then by Lemma 7,  $L/K \cong L_3(7)$ .

Let 
$$\bar{G} = G/K$$
 and  $\bar{L} = L/K$ . Then

$$L_3(7) \leq \bar{L} \cong \bar{L}C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L}) \leq \bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L}) = N_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L}) \leq Aut(\bar{L})$$

Set  $M=\{xK\mid xK\in C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})\}$ , then  $G/M\cong \bar{G}/C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L})$  and so  $L_3(7)\leq G/M\leq \mathrm{Aut}\,L_3(7)$ 

Therefore  $G/M \cong L_3(7), G/M \cong 2.L_3(7), G/M \cong 3.L_3(7)$ . or  $G/M \cong S_3.L_3(7)$ .

- If  $G/M \cong L_3(7)$ , then order consideration |M| = 2. It follows that M is a normal subgroup generated by a 2-central element of G. So there exists an element of order 2.19, which is a contradiction.
- If  $G/M \cong 3.L_3(7)$ , or  $G/M \cong S_3.L_3(7)$ , order consideration rules out these cases.
  - If  $G/M \cong 2.L_3(7)$ , then M = 1. But use  $(2.L_3(7)) \neq \text{nse } (G)$ .
- Therefore  $|G| = 2^5 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 19 = |L_3(7)|$  and by assumption, nse  $(G) = nse(L_3(7))$ , then by (Shao *et al.*, 2008),  $G \cong L_3(7)$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The object is supported by the Department of Education of Sichuan Province (Grant No: 12ZB085, 12ZB291 and 13ZA0119) and by the Opening Project of Sichuan Province University Key Laborstory of Bridge Non-destruction Detecting and Engineering Computing (Grant No: 2013QYJ02).

#### REFERENCES

- Conway, J. H., Curtis, R. T., Norton, S. P., Parker, R. A. & Wilson. R. A. 1985. Atlas of finite groups: Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. Clarendon Press, London
- **Frobenius, G. 1895.** Verallgemeinerung des Sylowschen Satze. Berliner Sitz 981-993.
- Hall, M. 1959. The theory of groups. AMS, Macmilan.
- **Herzog, M. 1968.** Finite simple groups divisible by only three primes. Journal of Algebra 10: 383-388.
- Asboei, A. K., Amiri, S. S., Iranmanesh, A. & Tehranian, A. 2013. A characterization of sporadic simple groups by NSE and order, Journal of Algebra and its Applications 12(2): 1250158 (3 pages).
- **Khatami, M., Khosravi, B. & Akhlaghi, Z. 2011.** A new characterization for some linear groups. Monatshefte fur Mathematik **163**: 39-50.
- **Liu, S. & Zhang, R. 2012.** A new characterization of  $A_{12}$ . Mathematical Sciences 6: 30.
- Liu, S. 2013. A characterization of  $L_3(4)$ . ScienceAsia. 39: 436-439.
- **Liu, S. A.** Characterization of projective special group  $L_3(5)$ . Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. In press.

- Miller, G. 1904. Addition to a theorem due to Frobenius. Bulletin of the Americal Mathematical Society 11(1): 6-7.
- Shao, C. G., Shi, W. J. & Jiang, Q. H. 2009. A new characterization of simple  $K_3$ -groups. Advances in Mathematics 38: 327-330(in chinese).
- Shao, C. G., Shi, W. J. & Jiang, Q. H. 2008. A new characterization of simple  $K_4$ -groups. Frontiers Mathematics in China. 3 (3): 355-370.
- **Shao, C. & Jiang, Q. 2010.** A new charcterization of Mathieu groups. Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO) **46**: 13-23.
- **Shao, C. & Jiang, Q. 2014.** A new characterization of some linear groups by NSE. Journal of Algebra and its Applications **13**(2): 1350094.
- Shen, R., Shao, C. G. Jiang, Q. H., Shi, W. J. & Mazurov, V. 2010. A new characterization of A<sub>5</sub>. Monatshefte fur Mathematik 160: 337-341.
- **Shi, W. J. 1989.** A new characterization of the sporadic simple groups. Proceeding of the 1987 Singapore Conference on Group Theory. Walter de Gruyter, pp. 531-540.
- **Shi, W. J. 1991.** On simple  $K_4$ -group. Chinese Science Bulletin **36**(17): 1281-1283(in chinese).

**Submitted**: 11/08/2013 **Revised**: 02/10/2013 **Accepted**: 16/12/2013

# توصيف الزمر الاسقاطية الخطية الخاصة

### شيتيان ليو

كلية العلوم - جامعة سيتشوان للعلوم والهندسة - زيجوج سيتشوان 643000 - جمهورية الصين الشعبية

### خلاصة

لتكن G زمرة وتكون W, G مجموعة عناصر مرتبات G نثبت في هذا البحث بأنه إذا  $(L_3(7))$  فإن S تكافئ S تكافئ S تكافئ S تكافئ S تكافئ S تكافئ أنه إذا