

## An easy construction of generalized neighbor designs in minimal circular blocks

Muhammad Nadeem, Muhammad Rasheed, M. H. Tahir, Khadija Noreen,  
Sajid Hussain\*, Rashid Ahmed  
*Dept. of Statistics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan*  
*\*Corresponding author: sajidhussain060917@gmail.com*

### Abstract

Neighbor designs (NDs) are used in the experiments where neighbor effects may arise. Neighbor designs neutralize these effects and are, therefore, considered to be robust against neighbor effects. Minimal neighbor designs are always most economical among the neighbor designs and are, therefore, preferred by the experimenters. Method of cyclic shifts provides these designs in circular blocks only for odd  $v$  (number of treatments). For  $v$  even, minimal circular generalized neighbor designs in which only  $\frac{v}{2}$  unordered pairs of distinct treatments that do not appear as neighbors will be the better alternate to the minimal neighbor designs. In this article, such minimal generalized neighbor designs are constructed in circular blocks for  $v$  even.

**Keywords:** Direct effects; minimal circular blocks; neighbor designs; neighbor effects; robust to neighbor effects.

### 1. Introduction

Neighbor designs (NDs) are used in the experiments where neighbor effects may arise. Neighbor designs neutralize these effects and are, therefore, considered to be robust against neighbor effects. Minimal neighbor designs are always most economical among the neighbor designs and are, therefore, preferred by the experimenters. Tomar *et al.* (2005) suggested that competition among neighboring units becomes a source of bias and treatments comparisons are not much affected by neighbor effects with the use of neighbor balanced designs (NBDs). If each treatment appears exactly once with all other treatments as neighbor then design is minimal neighbor balanced. If every treatment occurs equal number of times, say  $\lambda'$  as neighbor of every other treatment, design is called neighbor balanced, here  $\lambda'$  is positive integer. If  $\lambda' = 1$  then it is minimal NBD. If each treatment appears either (i)  $\lambda'_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda'_2 = 0$ , or (ii)  $\lambda'_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda'_2 = 2$  times with other treatments as neighbor (left or right) then designs are called minimal generalized neighbor designs (GNDs).

Rees (1967) finds application of minimal NBDs in serology for virus research. In experiments of agriculture, horticulture, and forestry, neighbor effects arise due to plots' nature, plots' layout, pest infections from neighboring plots, etc. Minimal NBDs are available in literature to neutralize neighbor effects economically for  $v$  odd. For  $v$  even, our proposed minimal circular GNDs (MCGNDs) will reduce the bias due to neighbor effects economically and efficiently. Williams (1952) constructed NBDs in linear blocks. Rees (1967) used neighbor designs in virus research using circular blocks. Rees (1967) constructed neighbor designs also for  $k \leq v$ . Hwang (1973) constructed NBDs for some cases of  $v$  odd. Cheng (1983) constructed neighbor designs for block size ( $k$ ) equal to  $v$ . NBDs reduce bias due to neighbor effects, see Azais (1987), Langton (1987), Azais *et al.* (1993) and Kunert (2000). Iqbal *et al.* (2009) constructed some series of NBDs through method of cyclic shifts. Akhtar *et al.* (2010) and Ahmed & Akhtar (2011) presented NBDs for  $k = 5$  and 6 respectively. Shehzad *et al.* (2011a)

constructed minimal circular blocks neighbor designs for some limited cases. Misra *et al.* (1991) relaxed the conditions of balance property up to some extent and constructed GNDs. GNDs will be minimal  $GN_2$ -designs if  $\lambda'$  may take only two values (i)  $\lambda'_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda'_2 = 0$ , or (ii)  $\lambda'_1 = 1$  and  $\lambda'_2 = 2$ . Nutan (2007) and Kedia & Misra (2008) constructed some classes of circular GNDs (CGNDs) in which some are  $GN_2$ -designs. Ahmed *et al.* (2009), Zafaryab *et al.* (2010), Shehzad *et al.* (2011b) and Iqbal *et al.* (2012) presented MCGNDs for some limited cases. In this article, MCGNDs are obtained for  $v$  even in equal and unequal block sizes. In our proposed designs,  $\frac{v}{2}$  pairs of treatments do not appear as neighbors.

This article is organized as: Method of cyclic shifts is described in Section 2, along with the conditions for existence of MCGNDs. Efficiency measure is described in Section 3. MCGNDs are obtained for  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  in Section 4 and for  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  in Section 5. Discussion and conclusion are given in Section 6.

## 2. Method of cyclic shifts

Iqbal (1991) introduced method of cyclic shifts which is simplified here for minimal CNBDs and minimal CGNDs.

Let  $S_j = [q_{j1}, q_{j2}, \dots, q_{j(k-1)}]$  be  $i$  sets,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, i$ ,  $1 \leq q_{ju} \leq v - 1$  and  $u = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ .

- If each of  $1, 2, \dots, v - 1$  appears exactly once in  $S^*$  then designs will be minimal CNBD.
- If each of  $1, 2, \dots, v - 1$  appears either (a) 1 and 0, or (b) 1 and 2 in  $S^*$  then designs will be minimal CGND.

Here  $S^*$  contains:

- (i) Each element of all sets  $S_j$ .
- (ii) Sum (mod  $v$ ) of all elements in each set  $S_j$ .
- (iii) Complements of all elements in (i) and (ii), here complement of  $a$  being  $v - a$ .

In this article, we deal with the construction of MCGNDs in which  $\frac{v}{2}$  unordered pairs will not appear as neighbors. To construct minimal CGNDs for  $v = 2ik_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + \dots + 2k_h + 2$ , using Rule I, proceed as.

- If sum of  $A$  is divisible by  $v$  then it will produce required MCGNDs, here  $A = [1, 2, \dots, m]$ . For this, one or more elements can be replaced with their complements, here  $2m = v - 2$ .
- Divide resultant  $A$  in  $i$  classes of  $k_1$  size, one class each of  $k_2, k_3, \dots$ , and  $k_h$  sizes in such a way that sum of each class is divisible by  $v$ .
- Required sets of shifts will be obtained by deleting one element (any) from each class.

### Example 2.1

$S = [1, 2, 3]$  provides minimal CGND for  $v = 10$  and  $k = 4$ .

**Proof:**  $S^* = [1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 8, 7, 4]$  which contains each of  $1, 2, \dots, 9$  once but 5 does not appear. Hence  $S = [1, 2, 3]$  provides minimal CGND in blocks of size 4. Blocks of the design are generated as follows from the given set(s) of shifts.

Each set requires  $v$  blocks. Assign to each block 0 to  $v - 1$  as first unit element. Add 1 (mod  $v$ ) to first unit elements for second unit elements. Then add 2 (mod  $v$ ) to second unit values and so on, see Table 1.

**Example 2.2**

**Table 1.** Blocks obtained from  $S = [1, 2, 3]$

Blocks									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2
6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5

$S_1 = [3,4,7]$ ,  $S_2 = [1,5]$  produce following MCGND for  $v = 16$ ,  $k_1 = 4$  and  $k_2 = 3$ .

**Proof:**  $S^* = [3,4,7,14,1,5,6,13,12,9,2,15,11,10]$ , Here each of  $1, 2, \dots, 15$  appears once except 8 which does not appear. Hence  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  generate MCGND for  $v = 16$ ,  $k_1 = 4$ ,  $k_2 = 3$ .

Table 2 and 3 jointly present MCGND for  $v = 16$ ,  $k_1 = 4$ ,  $k_2 = 3$ . In this design, unordered pairs  $(0,8)$ ,  $(1,9)$ ,  $(2,10)$ ,  $(3,11)$ ,  $(4,12)$ ,  $(5,13)$ ,  $(6,14)$ ,  $(7,15)$  do not appear as neighbors. Hence we save  $[16(15) - 16(7)] = 53.33\%$  experimental units at the cost of losing  $[\frac{8}{120} \times 100\%] = 6.67\%$  neighbor balance.

**Table 2.** Blocks obtained from  $S_1 = [3, 4, 7]$

Blocks															
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	0	1	2
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
14	15	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13

**Table 3.** Blocks obtained from  $S_2 = [1, 5]$

Blocks															
17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	0
6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	0	1	2	3	4	5

**3. Efficiency of neighbor effects**

The model repeatedly discussed for residual effects in literature is the traditional model given by,

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \tau_{d(k,j)} + \gamma_{d(k-1,j)} + \pi_k + \xi_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, \tag{1}$$

The efficiency factor for neighbor effect is the harmonic mean of non-zero Eigen values of their respective information matrix, see James & Wilkinson (1971) and Pearce *et al.* (1974). Design with high value of  $E_n$  is considered efficient to estimate neighbor effects. Our proposed designs possess high values of  $E_n$ , therefore, these are suitable for this purpose.

**Example 3.1**

$[2,3,4,5,7,13]$ ,  $[1,6,8,9,10]$ ,  $[11,12,14,15,16]$  produce MCGND for  $v = 34$ ,  $k_1 = 6$  and  $k_2 = 5$  with  $E_n = 0.90$ .

#### 4. Minimal CGNDs for $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

Here, MCGNDs are obtained for  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  with  $m = \frac{v-2}{2}$ .

##### Theorem 4.1

If  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , sets obtained from  $A = [1, 2, \dots, \frac{(3m-1)}{4}, \frac{(3m+7)}{4}, \frac{(3m+11)}{4}, \dots, m, \frac{5(m+1)}{4}]$  will produce MCGNDs for:

- $v = 2ik + 2$ .
- $v = 2ik_1 + 2u_1k_2 + 2$ .
- $v = 2ik_1 + 2u_1k_2 + 2u_2k_3 + 2$  and so on.

**Proof:** Let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 1 + 2 + \dots + \frac{(3m-1)}{4} + \frac{(3m+7)}{4} + \frac{(3m+11)}{4} + \dots + m + \frac{5(m+1)}{4} \\ &= 1 + 2 + \dots + \frac{(3m-1)}{4} + \frac{(3m+3)}{4} + \frac{(3m+7)}{4} + \frac{(3m+11)}{4} + \dots + m + \frac{5(m+1)}{4} - \frac{(3m+3)}{4} \\ &= [1 + 2 + \dots + m] + \frac{(2m+2)}{4} \\ &= \frac{m(m+1)}{2} + \frac{2(m+1)}{4} \\ &= \frac{2(m+1)(m+1)}{4}, \quad \text{Since } v = 2(m+1) \\ &= \frac{v(m+1)}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{(m+1)}{4}$  will be integer for  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

$S \pmod{v} \equiv 0$  if  $\frac{(m+1)}{4}$  is integer. Hence proved.

##### 4.1 MCGNDs in equal block sizes when $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

For the following cases, minimal CGNDs can be constructed in equal block sizes using  $i$  sets derived from theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $v = 2ik + 2, k = 5, 9, 13, \dots, i = 3, 7, 11, \dots$
- (ii)  $v = 2ik + 2, k = 7, 11, \dots, i = 1, 5, 9, \dots$

##### Example 4.1

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 32$  and  $k = 5$  with  $E_n = 0.92$ .

$$S_1 = [4, 6, 10, 11], S_2 = [5, 7, 8, 9], S_3 = [13, 14, 15, 20]$$

##### 4.2 MCGNDs in two different block sizes when $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , through $i$ sets for $k_1$ and one for $k_2$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in two different block sizes from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$  and one set for  $k_2$  derived from theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i+1), k_1 = 4l = k_2 + 1, i \& l$  integers.
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i+1), k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, i$  odd.
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i+1), k_1$  (odd)  $= k_2 + 1 > 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i+1) - 2, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 2, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (v)  $v = 2k_1(i+1) - 2, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 2, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vi)  $v = 2ik_1 + 8, k_1 = 4l, k_2 = 3, i \& l$  integers and  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vii)  $v = 2ik_1 + 8, k_1 = 4l + 2, k_2 = 3, i$  even.

- (viii)  $v = 2ik_1 + 8, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, k_2 = 3, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (ix)  $v = 2ik_1 + 10, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 4, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (x)  $v = 2ik_1 + 10, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 4, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xi)  $v = 2ik_1 + 12, k_1 = 4l + 2, k_2 = 5$ , and  $i$  odd.
- (xii)  $v = 2ik_1 + 12, k_1 = 4l + 2, k_1$  (odd)  $> 5, k_2 = 5, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

### Example 4.2

Following sets generate MCGND for  $v = 16, k_1 = 4, k_2 = 3$  with  $E_n = 0.85$ .

$$S_1 = [2,3,10], \quad S_2 = [5,4]$$

### 4.3 MCGNDs in two different block sizes when $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , through $i$ sets for $k_1$ and two for $k_2$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in two different block sizes using  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , two for  $k_2$  derived from theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 2, k_1 = 5, 9, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 1, i = 3, 7, 11, \dots$
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 2, k_1 = 7, 11, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 1, i = 1, 5, 9, \dots$
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = 5, 9, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 2, i = 1, 5, 9, \dots$
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = 7, 11, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 2, i = 3, 7, 11, \dots$
- (v)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 10, k_1 = 5, 9, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 3, i = 3, 7, 11, \dots$
- (vi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 10, k_1 = 7, 11, \dots, k_1 = k_2 + 3, i = 1, 5, 9, \dots$

### Example 4.3

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 40, k_1 = 7$  and  $k_2 = 6$  with  $E_n = 0.93$ .

$$S_1 = [3,4,5,6,7,14], S_2 = [10,11,12,13,25], S_3 = [8,16,17,18,19]$$

### 4.4 MCGNDs in three different block sizes when $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , through $i$ sets for $k_1$ and one set for $k_2$ , one for $k_3$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in three different block sizes from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$ , one for  $k_3$  derived from theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 4, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i$  odd.
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 4, k_1 = k_2 + 1 > 3, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 4, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (v)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 8, k_1 = 4l, l > 1, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i, l$  integer and  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 8, k_1 = 4l + 2, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i$  even,  $l$  integer,  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 8, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (viii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

- (ix)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (x)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 = 4l = k_2 + 1, l > 1, k_3 = 4$ .
- (xi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 4, i$  odd.
- (xii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 = k_2 + 1 > 5, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xiii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 10, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xiv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 10, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 = 4l + 2, l > 1, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 3, i$  even,  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xvi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xvii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 2, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xviii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 2, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xix)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 = 4l, l > 2, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 5, i$  integer and  $m \pmod{4} \equiv 0$ .
- (xx)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 2, k_3 = 5, i$  odd.
- (xxi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 2, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxiii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 2, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxiv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 3, k_3 = 4, i$  even.
- (xxv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxvi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 3, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

#### Example 4.4

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 32, k_1 = 6, k_2 = 5$  and  $k_3 = 4$  with  $E_n = 0.92$ .  
 $S_1 = [9,11,13,14,15], S_2 = [6,7,8,10], S_3 = [4,5,20]$

4.5 MCGNDs in three different block sizes when  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , through  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$ , two for  $k_3$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in blocks of three different sizes through  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$ , two for  $k_3$  derived from theorem 4.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 8, k_1 = 4l = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2$ .
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 8, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i$  odd.
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 8, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, k_2 = k_1 - 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 12, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i$  even.
- (v)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 12, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, k_1 = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

#### Example 4.5

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 32, k_1 = 5, k_2 = 4$  and  $k_3 = 3$  with  $E_n = 0.85$ .  
 $S_1 = [3,5,9,14], S_2 = [7,8,11], S_3 = [10,20], S_4 = [13,15]$

## 5. Minimal CGNDs for $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

Here, MCGNDs are generated for  $m \pmod{4} \equiv 0$ , where  $m = \frac{v-2}{2}$ .

### Theorem 5.1

If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , sets obtained from  $B = [1, 2, \dots, m]$  will produce proposed MCGNDs for:

- $v = 2ik + 2$ .
- $v = 2ik_1 + 2u_1k_2 + 2$ .
- $v = 2ik_1 + 2u_1k_2 + 2u_2k_3 + 2$ , and so on.

**Proof:** Let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= 1 + 2 + \dots + m \\ &= \frac{(m+1)m}{2} \\ &= \frac{2(m+1)m}{4} = v \cdot \frac{m}{4} \quad \text{Since } v = 2(m+1) \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{m}{4}$  will be integer for  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .  
 $S \pmod{v} \equiv 0$  if  $\frac{m}{4}$  is integer. Hence proved.

### 5.1 MCGNDs in equal block sizes when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$

For the following cases, minimal CGNDs can be constructed in equal block sizes using  $i$  sets derived from theorem 5.1

- (i)  $v = 2ik + 2, k = 4l, i, l$  integer and  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (ii)  $v = 2ik + 2, k = 4l + 2, i$  even,  $l$  integer and  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iii)  $v = 2ik + 2, k$  (odd)  $> 3, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

### Example 5.1

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 18$  and  $k = 4$  with  $E_n = 0.88$ .

$$S_1 = [3, 4, 5], S_2 = [1, 2, 7]$$

### 5.2 MCGNDs in two different block sizes when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ through $i$ sets for $k_1$ and one for $k_2$

For the following cases, minimal CGNDs can be constructed in two different block sizes from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$  and one for  $k_2$  derived from theorem 5.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1), k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1), k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) - 2, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 2, i$  even,  $l$  integer.
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) - 2, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (v)  $v = 2ik_1 + 8, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vi)  $v = 2ik_1 + 8, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (vii)  $v = 2ik_1 + 10, k_1 = 4l, l > 1, k_2 = 4, i$  integer.
- (viii)  $v = 2ik_1 + 10, k_1 = 4l + 2, k_2 = 4, i$  even.
- (ix)  $v = 2ik_1 + 10, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, k_2 = 4, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

(x)  $v = 2ik_1 + 12, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 5, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$

(xi)  $v = 2ik_1 + 12, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = 5, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$

**Example 5.2**

Following sets generate MCGND for  $v = 18, k_1 = 5, k_2 = 3$  with  $E_n = 0.88.$

$$S_1 = [2,4,5,6], \quad S_2 = [7,8]$$

5.3 MCGNDs in two different block sizes when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , through  $i$  sets for  $k_1$  and two for  $k_2$

For the following cases, minimal CGNDs can be constructed in two different block sizes from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , two for  $k_2$  derived from theorem 5.1.

(i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 2, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, i$  odd.

(ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = 4l = k_2 + 2, i \& l > 1.$

(iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 2, i$  even.

(iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$

(v)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 10, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_1 = 4l + 2, i$  odd.

(vi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 10, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_1$  (odd)  $> 3, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$

**Example 5.3**

Following sets generate MCGND for  $v = 34, k_1 = 6$  and  $k_2 = 5$  with  $E_n = 0.90.$

$$S_1 = [3,5,6,7,11], S_2 = [4,8,9,12], S_3 = [13,14,15,16]$$

5.4 MCGNDs in three different block sizes when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , through  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$  and one for  $k_3$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in blocks of three different sizes from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$ , one for  $k_3$  derived from theorem 5.1.

(i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 4, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$

(ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 4, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$

(iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = 4l, l > 4, k_2 = k_1 - 1 \& k_3 = k_1 - 3, i, l$  integer.

(iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 = k_2 + 1 = 4l + 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i$  even.

(v)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 6, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 1 \& k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$

(vi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 8, k_1 \pmod{4} \equiv 1, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$

(vii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) - 8, k_1 \pmod{4} \equiv 3, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$

(viii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) + 6, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 1, l > 1, k_3 = 3, i$  even.

(ix)  $v = 2k_1(i + 2) + 6, k_1 = k_2 + 1 > 5, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}.$

(x)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$

(xi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$



- (xii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 10, k_1 = 4l, l > 1, k_2 = k_1 - 1, k_3 = 5, i$  integer.
- (xiii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 10, k_1 = k_2 + 1 = 4l + 2, k_3 = 5, i$  odd.
- (xiv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 10, k_1 = k_2 + 1 > 5, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xvi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xvii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 = k_2 + 2 = 4l + 2, k_3 = 4, i$  even.
- (xviii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 > 7, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xix)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xx)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 8, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 2, k_1 = 4l, l > 1, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 3, i$  integer.
- (xxii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 2, k_1 = 4l + 2, l > 1, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 3, i$  odd.
- (xxiii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 2, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 3, i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxiv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 4, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 4, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (xxvi)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 = 4l + 2 = k_2 + 3 > 5, k_3 = 5, i$  even,  $l$  integer.
- (xxvii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 1) + 6, k_1 > 5, k_2 = k_1 - 3, k_3 = 5, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

#### Example 5.4

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 26, k_1 = 5, k_2 = 4$  and  $k_3 = 3$  with  $E_n = 0.87$ .  
 $S_1 = [3,5,7,9], S_2 = [4,10,11], S_3 = [8,12]$

5.5 MCGNDs in three different block sizes when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , from  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$  and two for  $k_3$

For the following cases, MCGNDs can be obtained in blocks of three different sizes through  $i$  sets for  $k_1$ , one set for  $k_2$  and two for  $k_3$ , derived from theorem 5.1.

- (i)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 8, k_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (ii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 8, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 2, i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iii)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 12, k_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4} = k_2 + 1, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .
- (iv)  $v = 2k_1(i + 3) - 14, k_1 \text{ (odd) } > 3, k_2 = k_1 - 2, k_3 = k_1 - 3, i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

#### Example 5.5

Following sets generate minimal CGND for  $v = 42, k_1 = 5, k_2 = 4$  and  $k_3 = 3$  with  $E_n = 0.88$ .  
 $S_1 = [3,4,14,20], S_2 = [7,8,9,12], S_3 = [10,13,17], S_4 = [15,16], S_5 = [18,19]$

## 6. Discussion and conclusion

Neighbor effects may arise in experiments of serology for virus research and in agriculture experiments, due to nature of plots, etc. In the presence of neighbor effects, misleading conclusions may be drawn in the variety competition experiments. Minimal NBDs are available in literature to neutralize these effects economically for  $v$  odd.

To overcome this problem for  $v$  even, complete solution is given in this article to construct proposed MCGNDs. For  $v$  even, our proposed designs have been proved efficient mathematically and logically to reduce the bias due to neighbor effects, therefore, practitioners are recommended to implement these designs in their experiments where neighbor effects may arise. With the collaboration of practitioners in future research, author(s) will apply these designs on their relevant experiments and then give numerical results for further recommendations.

## References

- Ahmed, R., Akhtar, M., & Tahir, M. H. (2009).** Economical generalized neighbor designs of use in Serology. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, **53**, 4584-4589.
- Ahmed, R., & Akhtar, M. (2011).** Designs balanced for neighbor effects in circular blocks of size six. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **141**, 687-691.
- Akhtar, M., Ahmed, R., & Yasmin, F. (2010).** A catalogue of nearest neighbor balanced-designs in circular blocks of size five. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics*, **26**(2), 397-405.
- Azais, J. M. (1987).** Design of experiments for studying intergenotypic competition. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*, **49**, 334-345.
- Azais, J. M., Bailey, R. A., & Monod, H. (1993).** A catalogue of efficient neighbor designs with border plots. *Biometrics*, **49**(4), 1252-61.
- Cheng, C. S. (1983).** Construction of optimal balanced incomplete block designs for correlated observations. *Annals of Statistics*, **11**, 240-246.
- Hwang, F. K. (1973).** Constructions for some classes of neighbor designs. *Annals of Statistics*, **1**(4), 786-790.
- Iqbal, I. (1991).** Construction of experimental design using cyclic shifts, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Kent at Canterbury, U. K.
- Iqbal, I., Tahir, M. H., & Ghazali, S. S. A. (2009).** Circular neighbor-balanced designs using cyclic shifts. *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, **52**(10), 2243-2256.
- Iqbal, I., Tahir, M. H., Aggarwal, M. L., Ghazali, S. S. A., & Ahmed, I. (2012).** Generalized neighbor designs with block size 3. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **142**, 626-632.
- James, A. T., & Wilkinson, G. N. (1971).** Factorization of the residual operator and canonical decomposition of non-orthogonal factors in the analysis of variance. *Biometrika*, **58**, 258-294.
- Kedia, R. G., & Misra, B. L. (2008).** On construction of generalized neighbor design of use in serology. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **18**, 254-256.
- Kunert, J. (2000).** Randomization of neighbour balanced designs. *Biometrical Journal*, **42**(1), 111-118.
- Langton, S. (1987).** Avoiding edge effects in agroforestry experiments: The use of neighbour-balanced designs and guard areas. *Agroforestry Systems*, **12**, 173-185.
- Misra, B. L., Bhagwandas, & Nutan S. M. (1991).** Families of neighbor designs and their analysis. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, **20**, 427-436.

**Nutan, S. M. (2007).** Families of proper generalized neighbor designs. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **137**, 1681–1686.

**Pearce, S. C., Calinski, T., & Marshall, T. F. D. C. (1974).** The basic contrasts of an experimental design with special reference to the analysis of data. *Biometrika*, **61**(3), 449–60.

**Rees, D. H. (1967).** Some designs of use in serology. *Biometrics*, **23**, 779-791.

**Shehzad, F., Zafaryab, M., & Ahmed, R. (2011a).** Minimal neighbor designs in circular blocks of unequal sizes. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **141**, 3681-3685.

**Shehzad, F., Zafaryab, M., & Ahmed, R. (2011b).** Some series of proper generalized neighbor designs. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **141**, 3808-3818.

**Tomar, J. S., Jaggi, S., & Varghese, C. (2005).** On totally balanced block designs for competition effects. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **32**(1), 87-97.

**Williams, R. M. (1952).** Experimental designs for serially correlated observations. *Biometrika*, **39**, 151-167.

**Zafaryab, M., Shehzad, F., & Ahmed, R. (2010).** Proper generalized neighbor designs in circular blocks. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, **140**, 3498-3504.

**Submitted:** 17/04/2021

**Revised:** 17/07/2021

**Accepted:** 24/10/2021

**DOI:** 10.48129/kjs.13715