Norms and compactness of operators on absolute weighted mean summable series

Mehmet A. Sarıgöl

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Pamukkale, 20007, Denizli, Turkey E-mail: msarigol@pau.edu.tr

Abstract

In a recent paper we characterized the classes of triangular matrix transformations mapping from the spaces $|\overline{N}_p|$ and $|\overline{N}_p|_k$ into the spaces $|\overline{N}_q|_k$ and $|\overline{N}_q|$, respectively, where the spaces $|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k$, $k \ge 1$, series summable by absolute summability method. In the present paper we show that each element of these classes corresponds to a bounded linear operator, and determine exactly or estimate their norms and those in some well known classes. Also, we characterize compact operators in these classes by using Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Keywords: Absolute weighted summability; bounded operator; compact operator; Hausdorff measure of non compactness; matrixtrans formations.

Mathematics subject classifications (2010): 40D09, 40F05, 46B45, 46B50

1. Introduction

Let $\sum a_{\nu}$ be a given infinite series with s_n as its nth partial sums. Let $A = (a_{n\nu})$ be an arbitrary infinite matrix of complex numbers and (θ_n) be a positive sequence. By $A(s) = (A_n(s))$ we denote the *A*-transform of the sequence $s = (s_n)$, i.e.,

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} s_{\nu} \tag{1}$$

provided that the series are convergent for $= 0,1, \dots$ A series $\sum a_{\nu}$ is said to be summable $|A, \theta|_k, k \ge 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_n^{k-1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty ,$$

(Sarıgöl, 2010). If $A = (\overline{N}, p_n)$ and $\theta_n = P_n/p_n$, then the summability $|A, \theta|_k$ is reduced to the summabilities $|\overline{N}, p_n, q_n|_k$ and $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$, (Sulaiman, 1992; Bor & Thorpe, 1987), respectively. Also, $|A, \theta|_k = |C, \alpha|_k$ for $A = (C, \alpha)$ and $\theta_n = n$, (Flett, 1957). By a weighted mean matrix we mean one such that

$$a_{n\nu} = \begin{cases} p_{\nu}/P_n, & 0 \le \nu \le n \\ 0, & \nu > n \end{cases}$$

where

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

$$P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$$
(2)

By $|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k$, we donete the set of series summable by the summability method $|\overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n|_k$. Then it can be easily seen that

$$\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\Big|_{k} = \left\{ a = (a_{n}) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_{n}^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} P_{\nu-1}a_{\nu} \right|^{k} < \infty \right\}, k \ge 1,$$

and so it means that a series $\sum a_{\nu}$ is summable $|\overline{N}, p_n, \theta_n|_k$ if and only if the sequence $a = (a_{\nu}) \in |\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k$. Also, it is routine to verify that $|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_{\nu}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|a\|_{|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}|_{k}} = \left\{ |a_{0}|^{k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \theta_{n}^{k-1} \left| \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} P_{\nu-1}a_{\nu} \right|^{k} < \infty \right\}^{1/k}, k \ge 1,$$
(3)

with $\theta_n = 1$ (Sarıgöl, 1991). In addition, the space $|\overline{N}_p|(M, r, q, s)$ generalizing the space $|N_p^{\theta}|_k$ with $\theta_n = 1$ was studied by Altin *et al.*(2004).

Let X ve Y be two sequence spaces. We say that the matrix A defines a matrix transformation from X into Y, and denote it by writing $A: X \to Y$ if A(s) exists and is in Y whenever $s \in X$. By (X, Y), we denote the class of all infinite matrices which map X into Y. For a long time, problems of comparision of summability methods and summability factors have widely been examined by many authors (Bor & Thorpe, 1987; Bosanquet, 1950; Kuttner, 1985; Mazhar, 1971; Mehdi, 1960; McFadden, 1942; Mohapatra & Das, 1975; Orhan & Sarıgöl, 1993; Sarıgöl, 1991; Sarıgöl, 1993; Sarıgöl, 2011; Sarıgöl & Bor, 1995; Sarıgöl, 2010; Sarıgöl, 2015; Sulaiman, 1992; Sunouchi, 1949). Now, according to other viewpoint we note that most of these results correspond to the special matrix transformations $I, W \in (X, Y)$, where I is identity matrix and *W* is the matrix defined by $w_{n\nu} = \varepsilon_n$ for $\nu = n$, zero otherwise, respectively. In a recent paper (Sarigol, 2011), in this way, the following classes of triangular matrix transformations in $(|\overline{N}_p|, |\overline{N}_q^{\theta}|_k)$ and $(|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k, |\overline{N}_q|)$ have been characterized, which also include some well known results of Bosanquet (1950), Orhan & Sarıgöl (1993), and Sunouchi (1949).

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $A = (a_{n\nu})$ is a triangular matrix of complex numbers and (θ_n) is a positive sequence. Then $A \in (|\overline{N}_p|, |\overline{N}_q^{\theta}|_k), 1 \le k < \infty$, if and only if

$$a_{\nu\nu} = O\left\{\theta_{\nu}^{-\frac{1}{k^{*}}} \frac{p_{\nu}Q_{\nu}}{P_{\nu}q_{\nu}}\right\},$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{m=\nu}^{n} \frac{\theta_{n}^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}} q_{n}Q_{m-1}}{Q_{n}Q_{n-1}} \left(a_{m\nu} - a_{m,\nu+1}\right)\right|^{k} = O\left\{\left(\frac{p_{\nu}}{P_{\nu}}\right)^{k}\right\},$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \left|\sum_{m=\nu+1}^{n} \frac{\theta_{n}^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}} q_{n}Q_{m-1}}{Q_{n}Q_{n-1}} a_{m,\nu+1}\right|^{k} = O(1), as \nu \to \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2. Let $1 < k < \infty$, $1/k + 1/k^* = 1$. Assume that $A = (a_{n\nu})$ is a triangular matrix of complex numbers and (θ_n) is a positive sequence. Then $A \in (|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k, |\overline{N}_q|)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta_{\nu}} \left(\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=\nu}^{n} \frac{q_n Q_{m-1}}{p_{\nu} Q_n Q_{n-1}} (P_{\nu} a_{m\nu} - P_{\nu-1} a_{m,\nu+1}) \right| \right)^{k^*} < \infty.$$

We also need the following results for our investigations:

Lemma 1.3. (Stieglitz & Tietz, 1977). Let $1 < k < \infty$. Then, $A \in (l_k, l)$ if and only if

$$||A||_{(l_k,l)} = \sup_{N} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n \in N}^{\infty} a_{n\nu} \right|^{k^*} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k^*}} < \infty,$$

where *N* is any finite set of positive numbers.

It may be noted that the norm $||A||_{(l_k,l)}$ is exactly determined by Lemma 1.3. However, it exposes a rather difficult condition to apply in applications. So the following lemma, which gives equivalent norm, is more useful in many cases.

Lemma 1.4. (Sarıgöl, 2015). Let $1 < k < \infty$. Then, $A \in (l_k, l)$ if and only if

$$||A||' = \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n\nu}| \right)^{k^*} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k^*}} < \infty,$$

and there exists $1 \le \xi \le 4$ such that $||A||'_{(l_k,l)} = \xi ||A||_{(l_k,l)}$.

The second part of this Lemma is easily seen by following the lines in Sarıgöl (2015) that

$$\|A\|_{(l_k,l)} \le \|A\|'_{(l_k,l)} \le 4\|A\|_{(l_k,l)},$$

From this inequality we can obtain the required result.

Lemma 1.5. (Maddox, 1970). Let $1 \le k < \infty$. Then, $A \in (l, l_k)$ if and only if

$$\|A\|_{(l,l_k)} = \sup_{v} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{nv}|^k \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}} < \infty.$$

Lemma 1.6. Let $1 \le k < \infty$. Then, the spaces $\left|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}\right|_k$ and l_k are isometrically isomorphic.

Proof. Let us consider the mapping $T: \left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k} \to l_{k}$ defined by

$$T_n(a) = \frac{\theta_n^{\frac{1}{k^*}} p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n P_{\nu-1} a_{\nu}, T_0(a) = a_0.$$
(4)

Then, it is clear that T is linear and one to one. Now, given $y \in l_k$ for surjectivity. Take, for $n \ge 1$,

$$a_n = \theta_n^{-\frac{1}{k^*}} \frac{P_n}{p_n} y_n - \theta_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{k^*}} \frac{P_{n-2}}{p_{n-1}} y_{n-1}, a_0 = y_0.$$
(5)

Then, T(a) = y and so $\in \left|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}\right|_k$, which implies that T is surjective. Also, it preserves the norm, i.e., $\|a\|_{\left|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}\right|_k} = \|T(a)\|_{l_k}$.

Lemma 1.7. Let $1 \le k < \infty$. If (p_n) is a sequence of positive numbers satisfying

 $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty, \quad P_{-1} = p_{-1} = 0.$

then

$$\frac{1}{k} \le P_{\nu-1} \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \le 1.$$
 (6)

Proof. Let us consider the function $f : [0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{1-x}{1-x^k}$$

Then, since *f* is a positive decreasing function and $f(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{k}$ as $x \rightarrow 1 - 0$, we have $\frac{1}{k} \leq f(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in [0,1)$. So, if we take $x = \frac{P_{n-1}}{P_n}$, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} - \frac{1}{P_n^k} \right) \le \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}^k} \le \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} - \frac{1}{P_n^k}$$

which implies (6).

2. The hausdorff measure of noncompactness

If *R* and *H* are subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $\varepsilon > 0$ then *S* is called an ε -net of *H*, if, for every $h \in H$, there exists an $s \in S$ such that $d(h, s) < \varepsilon$; if *S* is finite, then the ε -net *S* of *H* is called a *finite* ε -net of *H*. Let *X* and *Y* be Banach spaces. A linear operator $L : X \to Y$ is called compact its domain is all of *X* and, for every bounded sequence (x_n) in *X*, the sequence $(L(x_n))$ has a convergent subsequence in *Y*. We denote the class of such operators by C(X, Y). If *Q* is a bounded subset of the metric space *X*, then the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of *Q* is defined by

$$\chi(Q) = \{ \varepsilon > 0 : Q \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon \text{-net in } X \},\$$

and χ is called the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Lemma 2.1. (Rakočević, 1998). Let *Q* be a bounded subset of the normed space *X* where $X = l_k$, for $1 \le k < \infty$ or $X = c_0$. If $P_n : X \to X$ is the operator defined by $P_r(x) = (x_0, x_1, ..., x_r, 0, ...)$ for all $x \in X$, then

$$\chi(Q) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left(\sup_{x \in Q} \| (I - P_r)(x) \| \right).$$

Let X and Y be Banach spaces and χ_1 and χ_2 be Hausdorff measures on X and Y, then, the linear operator $L: X \to Y$ is said to be (χ_1, χ_2) -bounded if L(Q) is a bounded subset of Y and there exsists a positive constant M such that $\chi_2(L(Q)) \leq M \chi_1(Q)$ for every bounded subset Q of X. If an operator L is (χ_1, χ_2) -bounded then the number

$$||L||_{(\chi_1,\chi_2)} = \inf\{M > 0 : \chi_2(L(Q)) \le M \chi_1(Q)$$

for all bounded $Q \subset X$

is called the (χ_1, χ_2) -measure noncompactness of *L*. In particular, if $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = \chi$, then we write $||L||_{(\chi,\chi)} = ||L||_{\chi}$. Lemma 2.2. (Malkowsky & Rakočević, 2000). Let *X* and *Y* be Banach spaces, $L \in B(X, Y)$ and $S_X = \{x \in X : ||x|| \le 1\}$ denote the unit sphere in *X*. Then

$$\|L\|_{\chi} = \chi(L(S_X)).$$

Furthermore, $L \in C(X, Y)$ if and only if $||L||_{\chi} = 0$, and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness satisfy the inequality $||L||_{\chi} \le ||L||$ (Malkowsky & Rakočević, 2000).

Let $X_T = \{x \in w : T(x) \in X\}$, where $T = (t_{n\nu})$ is a triangular infinite matrix. Then, we have

Lemma 2.3. (Malkowsky & Rakočević, 2007). Let *X* be normed sequence space and χ_T and χ denote the Hausdorff measures of noncompactness on M_{X_T} and M_X , the collections of all bounded sets in X_T and *X*, respectively. Then, $\chi_T(Q) = \chi(T(Q))$ for all $Q \in M_{X_T}$.

3. Main results

In the present paper we show that each element of the classes $(|\overline{N}_p|, |\overline{N}_q^{\theta}|_k)$ and $(|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k, |\overline{N}_q|)$ corresponds to a bounded linear operator, and determine exactly or estimate their norms and also those in some well-known classes. Besides, we characterize compact operators in these classes by using Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A = (a_{n\nu})$ be a triangular infinite matrix and (θ_n) be a positive sequence. Then $\left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}\right|_k\right) \subset B\left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}\right|_k\right), 1 \le k < \infty$, i.e., every matrix $A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}\right|_k\right)$ defines an operator $L_A \in B\left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}\right|_k\right)$ such that $L_A(x) = A(x)$ for all $x \in \left|\overline{N}_p\right|$, and if $A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}\right|_k\right)$, then

$$\|A\|_{\left(|\overline{N}_{p}|,|\overline{N}_{q}^{\theta}|_{k}\right)} = \sup_{\nu} \left\{ \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} |d_{n\nu}|^{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

and

$$\|A\|_{\chi} = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \sup_{v} \left\{ \sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} |d_{nv}|^{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where the matrix $D = (d_{ny})$ defined by

$$d_{n\nu} = \begin{cases} a_{00}, & n = 0, \nu = 0\\ \frac{\theta_n^{\frac{1}{k^*}} q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{m=\nu}^n \frac{Q_{m-1}}{p_{\nu}} \left(P_{\nu} a_{m\nu} - P_{\nu-1} a_{m,\nu+1} \right), & 0 \le \nu \le n \end{cases}$$
(7)

Proof. Since $\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}$ is a Banach space for $k \geq 1$, it is sufficient to prove the first part of the Theorem that coordinate functional $P_n: \left| \overline{N}_p^{\theta} \right|_k \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is bounded. In fact, by (3) and (4), we have

$$\|a\|_{\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}} = \left\{ |T_{0}(a)|^{k} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |T_{n}(a)|^{k} \right\}^{1/k}$$
(8)

and so it follows from the inversion of (4) and (8) that

$$\|P_n(a)\| \le \left(\theta_n^{-\frac{1}{k^*}} \frac{P_n}{p_n} + \theta_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{k^*}} \frac{P_{n-2}}{p_{n-1}}\right) \|a\|_{|\overline{N}_p^\theta|_k}.$$

Now, by following the lines in Sarıgöl (2011), we get for $n \ge 1$,

$$A_0(a) = a_{00}T_0(a),$$

$$A_{n}(a) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left\{ \theta_{n}^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}} \frac{q_{n}}{Q_{n}Q_{n-1}} \sum_{m=\nu}^{n} \frac{Q_{m-1}}{p_{\nu}} \left(P_{\nu}a_{m\nu} - P_{\nu-1}a_{m,\nu+1} \right) \right\} T_{\nu}(a) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} d_{n\nu} T_{\nu}(a)$$

This gives that $A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}\right|, \left|\overline{N}_{q}^{\theta}\right|_{k}\right) \Leftrightarrow D \in (l, l_{k})$. On the other hand, we get $A = L^{-1} \circ D \circ T$ if the maps $T: \left|\overline{N}_{p}\right| \longrightarrow l \text{ and } L: \left|\overline{N}_{q}^{\theta}\right|_{k} \longrightarrow l_{k} \text{ are defined by}$

$$T_n(a) = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n P_{\nu-1} a_{\nu}, T_0(a) = a_0,$$

and

$$L_n(a) = \frac{\theta_n^{\frac{1}{k^*}} q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n Q_{\nu-1} a_\nu, T_0(a) = a_0,$$

respectively. Therefore, by Lemma 1.6, we obtain

$$\|A\|_{\left(|\bar{N}_{p}|,|\bar{N}_{q}^{\theta}|_{k}\right)} = \sup_{a\neq\theta} \frac{\|L^{-1}(D(T(a))\|_{l_{k}}}{\|a\|_{|\bar{N}_{p}|}}$$
$$= \sup_{a\neq\theta} \frac{\|D(T(a))\|_{l_{k}}}{\|a\|_{|\bar{N}_{p}|}}$$
$$= \|D\|_{(l,l_{k})}$$

which completes the proof of the second part together with applying the matrix D to Lemma 1.5.

Finally, let $S = \{x \in |\overline{N}_q| : ||x|| \le 1\}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$\|A\|_{\chi} = \chi(AS) = \chi(LAS) = \chi(LAT^{-1}S) = \chi(DS)$$
$$= \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{y \in TS} \|(I - P_r)D(y)\|,$$

where $P_r: l_k \rightarrow l_k \ (r = 0, 1, ...)$ is defined by $P_r(x) =$ $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots)$. Therefore, if we define the matrix $D^{(r)} = (\overline{d}_{n\nu})$ by

$$A_0(a) = a_{00}T_0(a),$$

$$\bar{d}_{n\nu} = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq n \leq r \\ d_{n\nu} \text{,} & n > r \end{cases},$$

then

$$\sup_{y \in TS} \| (I - P_r) D(y) \| = \| D^{(r)} \|_{(l, l_k)}$$

which completes the proof by Lemma 1.5.

By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1, we directly obtain the following result which characterize the compact operators in the class $(|\overline{N}_p|, |\overline{N}_q^{\theta}|_k)$.

Corollary 3.2. Under conditions of Theorem 3.1, $A \in \left(\left| \overline{N}_{P} \right|, \left| \overline{N}_{q}^{\theta} \right|_{L} \right)$ is compact if and only if

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{\nu} \left(\sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} |d_{n\nu}|^k \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} = 0$$

Applying Theorem 3.1 to some special cases, we determine exactly or estimate the norms of bounded linear operators in well known matrix classes. First, we determine exactly the norm of the matrix transformation characterized by Bosanquet (1950) and Sunouchi, (1949).

Corollary 3.3. If $I \in (|\overline{N}_p|, |\overline{N}_q|)$, i.e., $|\overline{N}_p| \subset |\overline{N}_q|$, then

$$\|I\|_{(|\bar{N}_p|,|\bar{N}_q|)} = \sup_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{q_{\nu} P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu} p_{\nu}} + \left| 1 - \frac{q_{\nu} P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu} p_{\nu}} \right| \right\}.$$

Take $\theta_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, k = 1 and A = I in Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.4. If $I \in (|R_p|, |R_q|_{\mu})$ for $k \ge 1$, then

$$\|I\|_{\left(|R_{p}|,|R_{q}|_{k}\right)} = \sup_{\nu} \left\{ \left(\nu^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}} \frac{q_{\nu} P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu} p_{\nu}}\right)^{k} + \left|Q_{\nu} - \frac{q_{\nu} P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right|^{k} \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}} q_{n}}{Q_{n} Q_{n-1}}\right)^{k} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Take $\theta_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and A = I in Theorem 3.1. This matrix transformation was characterized by Orhan & Sarıgöl (1993).

Corollary 3.5. If $I \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_p\right|, \left|\overline{N}_q\right|_k\right)$ for $k \ge 1$, then there exists $\frac{1}{k} \le \xi \le 1$ such that

$$\|I\|_{\left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}\right|,\left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|_{k}\right)} = \sup_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{q_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu}} \left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{k} + \xi \left|1 - \frac{q_{\nu}P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu}p_{\nu}}\right|^{k} \right\}^{\overline{k}}.$$

On considering $\theta_n = Q_n/q_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and A = I in Theorem 3.1, also using Lemma 1.7, we get the matrix transformation studied in Sarıgöl (2011).

Theorem 3.6. Let $1 < k < \infty, \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k^*} = 1$, $A = (a_{n\nu})$ be a triangular infinite matrix and (θ_n) be a positive sequence. Then, $\left(\left|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}\right|_{k'}, \left|\overline{N}_q\right|\right) \subset B\left(\left|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}\right|_{k'}, \left|\overline{N}_q\right|\right)$, i.e.,

every matrix
$$A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}, \left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)$$
 defines an operator $L_{A} \in B\left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}, \left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)$ such that $L_{A}(x) = A(x)$ for all $x \in \left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}$, and if $A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}, \left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)$, then there exist $1 \leq \xi \leq 4$ such that

$$\|A\|_{\left(\left|\overline{N_{p}^{\theta}}\right|_{k'}\left|\overline{N_{q}}\right|\right)} = \frac{1}{\xi} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \left| \hat{d}_{n\nu} \right| \right)^{k^{*}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k^{*}}}$$

and

$$||A||_{\chi} = \frac{1}{\xi} \lim_{r \to \infty} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} |\hat{d}_{n\nu}| \right)^{k^*} \right\}^{\frac{1}{k^*}},$$

where the matrix $\widehat{D} = (\widehat{d}_{n\nu})$ is defined by

$$\hat{d}_{n\nu} = \begin{cases} a_{00}, & \nu = 0, n = 0\\ \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{m=\nu}^n \frac{\theta_{\nu}^{-1} Q_{m-1}}{p_{\nu}} (P_{\nu} a_{m\nu} - P_{\nu-1} a_{m,\nu+1}), & 0 \le \nu \le n\\ 0, & \nu > n \end{cases}$$
(9)

Proof. The first part is clear. Now, as in Sarigol (2011), we get, for $n \ge 1$,

$$A_0(a) = a_{00} \hat{T}_0(a),$$

for $n \ge 1$,

$$A_{n}(a) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} \left\{ \frac{q_{n}}{Q_{n}Q_{n-1}} \sum_{m=\nu}^{n} \frac{\theta_{\nu}^{-\hat{h}^{*}}Q_{m-1}}{p_{\nu}} \left(P_{\nu}a_{m\nu} - P_{\nu-1}a_{m,\nu+1} \right) \right\} \hat{T}_{\nu}(a)$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \hat{d}_{n\nu} \hat{T}_{\nu}(a) \,.$$

This gives us that $A \in \left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k}, \left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right) \Leftrightarrow \widehat{D} \in (l_{k}, l).$ Also $A = (\widehat{L})^{-1} \circ \widehat{D} \circ \widehat{T}$, where $\widehat{T} : \left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k} \longrightarrow l_{k}$ and $\widehat{L} : \left|\overline{N}_{q}\right| \longrightarrow l$ are defined by

$$\hat{T}_n(a) = \frac{\theta_n^{\frac{1}{k^*}} p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n P_{\nu-1} a_\nu, \quad \hat{T}_0(a) = a_0,$$

and

$$\hat{L}_n(a) = \frac{q_n}{Q_n Q_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n Q_{\nu-1} a_{\nu}, \hat{L}_0(a) = a_0,$$

respectively. So, it follows from Lemma 1.6 that

$$\|A\|_{\left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k},\left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)}=\|\widehat{D}\|_{\left(l_{k},l\right)}$$

which completes the proof by applying the matrix \widehat{D} to Lemma 1.4. The last part is similar to the above, so the proof is omitted.

Corollary 3.7. Under conditions of Theorem 3.6, $A \in \left(\left| \overline{N}_p^{\theta} \right|_{\nu}, \left| \overline{N}_q \right| \right)$ is compact if and only if . .

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=r+1}^{\infty} |\hat{d}_{n\nu}| \right)^{k^*} = 0$$

Corollary 3.8. Let k > 1. If $I \in \left(\left| \overline{N}_p^{\theta} \right|_k \left| \overline{N}_q \right| \right)$, then

$$\|I\|_{\left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k'}\left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)} = \left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\theta_{\nu}} \left(\frac{q_{\nu}P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu}p_{\nu}} + \left|1 - \frac{q_{\nu}P_{\nu}}{Q_{\nu}p_{\nu}}\right|\right)^{k^{*}}\right\}^{\overline{k^{*}}}$$

On considering A = I in Theorem 3.6, we get the matrix transformation studied in Sarıgöl (1993).

Now, if we put $\theta_0 = 1$ and $\theta_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and A = I in Corollary 3.8, then it follows that

$$\|I\|_{\left(\left|\overline{N}_{p}^{\theta}\right|_{k'}\left|\overline{N}_{q}\right|\right)} = \infty$$

which gives a result in Sarıgöl (1993).

Corollary 3.9. If k > 1, then $I \notin (|\overline{N}_p|_k, |\overline{N}_q|)$ for all positive sequences (p_n) and (q_n) satisfying (1.2), i.e., there exist a series which is summable by summable $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$ but not summable $|\overline{N}, q_n|$.

4. Conclusion

In the present paper, showing that any triangular matrix transformation mapping from the spaces $|\overline{N}_p|$ and $|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k$ into the spaces $|\overline{N}_q^{\theta}|_k$ and $|\overline{N}_q|$, respectively, corresponds to a bounded linear operator, we determine or estimate its norm and also give necessary and sufficient conditions for it to be compact by means of Hausdorff measure of noncompactness, where the spaces $|\overline{N}_p^{\theta}|_k$, $k\geq 1$, series summable by absolute summability method. And so it has been brought a different perspective and studying field.

5. Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the scientific and research center of Pamukkale University for his supports to the paper with Project number 2016HZDP024.

References

Altin, Y., Et, M. & Tripathy, B.C. (2004). The sequence space $|\overline{N}_p|(M,r,q,s)$ on seminormed spaces, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 154:423-430.

Bor, H. & Thorpe, B. (1987). On some absolute summability methods, Analysis, 7(2):145-152.

Bosanquet, L.S. (1950). Review of G. Sunouchi's paper (1949), "Notes on Fourier Analysis, 18, absolute summability of series with constant terms", Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 1:57-65, Mathematical Reviews MR 0034861 (11,654b).

Flett, T.M. (1957). On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proceedings London Mathematical Society, 7:113-141.

Kuttner, B. (1985). Some remarks on summability factors, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16(9):1017-1027.

Maddox, I.J. (1970). Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge University Press, London, New York.

Malkowsky, E. & Rakočević, V. (2000). An introduction into the theory of sequence space and measures of noncompactness, Zbornik Radova (Beogr), 9(17):143-234.

Malkowsky, E. & Rakočević, V. (2007). On matrix domain of triangles, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 189(2):1146-1163.

Mazhar, S.M. (1971). On the Absolute summability factors of infinite series, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 23:433-451.

Mehdi, M.R. (1960). Summability factors for generalized absolute summability, Proceedings London Mathematical Society, 10:180-200.

McFadden, L. (1942). Absolute Nörlund summability, Duke Mathematics Journal, 9:168-207.

Mohapatra R.N. & Das, G. (1975). Summability factors for lowersemi matrix transformations, Monatshefte für Mathematika, 79:307-315.

Orhan C. & Sarigol M.A. (1993). On absolute weight demean summability, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, **23**(3):1091–1097.

Rakočević, V. (1998). Measures of noncompactness and some applications, Filomat, 12:87-120.

Sarigöl, M.A. (1991). Necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence of the summability methods $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$ and $|C, 1|_k$. Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **22**(6):483–489.

Sarıgöl, M.A. (1993). A note on summability, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, 28:395-400.

Sarıgöl, M.A. (2011). Matrix transformations on fields of absolute weighted mean summability, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, **48**(3):331-341.

Sarigöl, M.A. & Bor H. (1995). Characterization of absolute summability factors, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 195:537–545.

Sarigöl, M.A. (2010). On the local properties of factored Fourier series, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 216:3386–3390.

Sarıgöl, M.A. (2015). Extension of Mazhar's theorem on summability factors, Kuwait Journal of Sciences, 42(3):28-35.

Sulaiman, W.T. (1992). On summability factors of infinite series, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **115**:313-317.

Stieglitz, M. & Tietz, H. (1977) Matrix transformationen von Folgenraumen Eine Ergebnisübersicht, Mathematische Zeitschrift, 154:1-16.

Sunouchi, G. (1949). Notes on Fourier Analysis, 18, absolute summability of a series with constant terms, Tohoku Mathematical Journal, 1:57-65.

Submitted : 13/04/2015 *Revised* : 25/06/2015 *Accepted* : 27/07/2015

محمد علي سرجول قسم الرياضيات، جامعة باموكالي، 20007، دنيزلي، تركيا البريد الإلكتروني: msarigol@pau.edu.tr

خلاصة

لقد قمنا، في بحث سابق، بإيجاد خصائص أصناف تحويلات مصفوفيه مثلثية بين فضاءات متسلسلات قابلة للجمع و ذلك بواسطة طريقة الجمع المطلق. و نقوم في هذا البحث بإثبات أن كل صنف من هذه الاصناف يقابله مؤثر خطي محدود، كما نقوم بإيجاد أو تقدير، معيار كل صنف من الأصناف المعروفة جيداً. كما نحدد خصائص المؤثرات المتراصة لهذه الأصناف و ذلك باستخدام قياس هاوسدورف لعدم التراص.